SSCI 684, Spatial Modeling with GIS

Syllabus

Units: 4

Term Day Time: Spring, 2024
Seminar: Thursday, 9:00-11:50 a.m. PT

Location: DMC 205

Instructor: Laura C Loyola, PhD
Office: AHF B55C
Regular Office Hours: Thursday 1:00-3:00pm PT; Also available by appointment via email.
Contact Info: loyola@usc.edu, 213-740-5612

Library Help: Andy Rutkowski
Office: LIPA B40-A
Office Hours: Thursdays 10 a.m.-12 p.m. PT or by appointment
Contact Info: arutkows@usc.edu see contact page on Blackboard for Zoom Room

IT Help: Myron Medulla
Office: AHF B56B
Office Hours: By appointment via email
Contact Info: spatial_support@usc.edu, Phone: TBD

Course Scope and Purpose

This course explores how geographic information systems and related technologies (global positioning systems, remote sensing, etc.) can be used to promote and support the construction and simulation of dynamic models of coupled human-environment systems. The fundamental feature of such systems involves diffusion over time and space, and individual cases may range from diffusion of pollutants and invasive species across landscapes to the transmission of disease by contact between individuals. The approaches used to model these phenomena may range from the continuous representation of system dynamics to the discrete interactions of individual elements of agent-based models. The measurement and modeling techniques used to describe spatial and temporal processes and patterns affecting human and environmental systems will be introduced with an assortment of weekly readings, discussions, and technical work. The course is aimed at doctoral students and a series of individual and group projects allow class participants to develop and use their own models for more detailed research. Calculus and programming experience may be helpful but are not required. In addition to the textbooks, exercises and readings will be provided from a variety of sources as required.

This course is a required for the Population, Health, and Place Ph.D. degree, and a required course in the Graduate Certificate in Spatial Analytics Program for doctoral students.

Learning Outcomes

On completion of this course, students will be able to:

- Describe the fundamental building blocks (data sources, data models, spatial analysis methods, programming tools, etc.) used in geographic information technologies and spatial models.
- Discuss how dynamic spatial models have been implemented to both simulate the functioning of coupled human-environment systems and understand their behavior under altered conditions.
- Explain the ways in which advances in our knowledge of coupled human-environment systems on the one hand and computer technologies on the other hand have combined to allow more realistic and detailed representations of the spatiotemporal variability of these systems in spatial models.
- Discuss the impact of sampling, resolution, uncertainty, and error on spatial model outcomes and some of the new opportunities afforded by modern instrumentation and measurement techniques.
- Critically evaluate the types of models that will be required in the future to effectively manage land, water, air and biotic resources, assess environmental risks, and promote human health and well-being.

Students may vary in their competency levels on these abilities. You can expect to acquire these abilities only if you honor all course policies, attend classes regularly, complete all assigned work in good faith and on time, and meet all other course expectations of you as a student.

Prerequisite(s): None
Co-Requisite(s): None
Concurrent Enrollment: Students must be enrolled in an existing USC PhD program
Recommended Preparation: Some GIS experience or permission of instructor

Class Conduct

Harassment, sexual misconduct, interpersonal violence, and stalking are not tolerated by the university. All faculty and most staff are considered Responsible Employees by the university and must forward all information they receive about these types of situations to the Title IX Coordinator. The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for assisting students with supportive accommodations, including academic accommodations, as well as investigating these incidents if the reporting student wants an investigation. The Title IX office is also responsible for coordinating supportive measures for transgender and nonbinary students such as faculty notifications, and more. If you need supportive accommodations, you may contact the Title IX Coordinator directly (titleix@usc.edu or 213-821-8298) without sharing any personal information with me. If you would like to speak with a confidential counselor, Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention Services (RSVP) provides 24/7 confidential support for students (213-740-9355 (WELL); press 0 after hours).

Diversity and Inclusion – It is my intent that students from all diverse backgrounds and perspectives be well served by this course, that students' learning needs be addressed both in and out of class, and that the diversity that students bring to this class be viewed as a resource, strength, and benefit. It is my intent to present materials and activities that are respectful to everyone, and you are also expected to respect of others regardless of their race, ethnicity, gender identity and expressions, cultural beliefs, religion, sexual orientation, national origin, age, abilities, ideas and perspectives, or socioeconomic status. Your suggestions are encouraged and appreciated. Feel free to let me know ways to improve the effectiveness of the course for you personally or for other students.

Course Structure

The course will be taught as a seminar and class meetings will be split between presentations and discussions of the assigned readings and any questions and related topics that arise from the readings. The learning and teaching strategies are student-centered. They aim to encourage a deep-learning approach by using reflection and self-evaluation. The course readings are designed to provide the essential background and framework for study. Students will be required to reflect on their learning through in-class discussions and a series of carefully crafted assignments.

Course Content Distribution and Synchronous Session Recordings Policies

USC has policies that prohibit recording and distribution of any synchronous and asynchronous course content outside of the learning environment.

Recording a university class without the express permission of the instructor and announcement to the class, or unless conducted pursuant to an Office of Accessibility Services (OSAS) accommodation. Recording can inhibit free discussion in the future, and thus infringe on the academic freedom of other students as well as the instructor. (Living our Unifying Values: The USC Student Handbook, page 13).

Distribution or use of notes, recordings, exams, or other intellectual property, based on university classes or lectures without the express permission of the instructor for purposed other than individual or group study is prohibited. This includes but is not limited to providing materials for distribution by services publishing course materials. This restriction on unauthorized use also applies to all information, which has been distributed to students or in any way has been displayed for use in relationship to the class, whether obtained in class, via email, on the internet, or via any other media. (Living our Unifying Values: The USC Student Handbook, page 13).

Technological and Communication Requirements

Students are expected to have a working knowledge of GIS. The modeling software programs and geospatial data required for course assignments will be accessed using computing resources provided by the Spatial Sciences Institute or via open sources.

If a student does not have access to a laptop, please speak with the instructor at the start of the semester. Also, see the USC ITS Student Toolkit here: https://keepteaching.usc.edu/students/student-toolkit/

SSI Server and Tech Support – At times this course utilizes the SSI Server which is a virtual desktop giving access to many different professional software. If you are unable to connect to the server or experience any type of technical issues, send an email using your USC account to SSI Tech Support at spatial_support@usc.edu, making sure to copy (cc) me on the email.

Communications – All materials to be handed in will be submitted via USC Brightspace. It is each student's responsibility to stay informed about what is going on in our course. In addition to email about time-sensitive topics, any important announcements will be posted as an Announcement on Brightspace. Be sure to check these each time you log onto Brightspace.

I will send via email through Brightspace any notices that are time sensitive. Please be sure that you read as soon as possible all email sent from Brightspace or from me. Do not ignore course email until the day before assignments are due. Also double check to be sure that email sent from the USC Brightspace account does not go into your junk mail!

I will endeavor to respond to all email within 24 hours of receipt, aiming for no more than 72 hours delay. In the rare case when I expect to be off-line for more than 72 hours, I will post an announcement on the Brightspace site.

Required Readings

Students are expected to acquire the textbooks on their own, electronic versions are available for many of these. Most journal articles are accessible through the USC Libraries system. If a student is unable to access the textbooks, please speak with the instructor at the start of the semester.

1. Abar, S., G.K. Theodoropoulos, P. Lemarinier, and G.M.P. O'Hare. 2017. "Agent Based Modelling and Simulation Tools: A Review of the State-of-Art Software." *Computer Science Review*. 24: 13-33.

2. Alexandrov, G.A., D. Ames, G. Bellocchi, M. Bruen, N. Crout, M. Erechtchoukova, A. Hildebrandt, F. Hoffman, C. Jackisch, P. Khaiter, G. Mannina, T. Matsunaga, S.T. Purucker, M. Rivington, L. Samaniego. 2011. "Technical Assessment and Evaluation of Environmental Models and Software: Letter to the Editor." *Environmental Modeling and Software.* 26: 328-336.

3. Bhaduri, B., E. Bright, P. Coleman, and M.L. Urban. 2007. "LandScan USA: A high resolution geospatial and temporal modeling approach for population distribution and dynamics." *GeoJournal.* 69, No. 1/2: 103-117.

4. Boo, G., E. Darin, D.R. Thomson, and A. Tatem. 20020. A grid-based sample design framework for household surveys. *Gates Open Research.* 27: 2-13.

5. Boulton, G., M. Rawlins, P. Vallance, and M. Walport. 2011. "Science as a Public Enterprise: The Case for Open Data." *The Lancet* 377, no. 9778 (May): 1633-1635.

6. Brimicombe, Allen. 2010. *GIS, Environmental Modeling, and Engineering* 2nd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press. (available at VKC and as an e-book form USC Libraries).

7. Brunsdon, Chris. 2008. "Inference and Spatial Data." In *The Handbook of Geographic Information Science,* edited by Wilson, John P. and A. Stewart Fotheringham, 337-351. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

8. Brunsdon, Chris, A. Stewart Fotheringham, and Martin E. Charlton. 1996. "Geographically Weighted Regression: A Method for Exploring Spatial Non-stationarity." *Geographical Analysis.* 28, no. 4 (October): 281-289.

9. Currie, D.J., C. Smith, P. Jagals. 2018. "The Application of System Dynamic Modelling to Envirnomental Health Decision-Making and Policy – A Scoping Review. *BMC Public Health.* 18: 402.

10. Crooks, A., N. Melleson, E. Manley, and A. Heppenstall. 2019. *Agent-Based Modelling & Geographic Information Systems: A Practical Primer*. Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications, Ltd. (available as an e-book from Amazon, NOT available via USC Libraries).

11. de Brito, M.M., A. Almoradie, and M. Evers. 2019. Spatially-explicit sensitivity and uncertainty analysis in a MCDA-based flood vulnerability model. *International Journal of Geographic Information Science.* 33 (no.9):1788-1806.
https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2019.1599125

12. de Vos, M.G., S.J.C. Janssen, L.G.J. van Bussel, J. Kromdijk, J. van Vliet, and J.L. Top. 2011. "Are Environmental Models Transparent and Reproducible Enough?" In *Proceedings of Nineteenth International Congress on Modeling and Simulation,* Perth, Australia: 2954-2961.

13. Daniel, C.J, B.M. Sleeter, L. Frid, and M-J Fortin. 2018. "Integrating Continuous Stocks and Flows into State-and-Transition Simulation Models of Landscape Change." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 1-11.

14. Galea, S., C. Hall, and G. Kaplan. 2009. "Social Epidemiology & Complex System Dynamic Modelling as Applied to Health Behaviour & Drug Use Research". *International Journal of Drug Policy.* 20, no.3 (May): 209-216.

15. Gebbert, S. and E. Pebesma. 2014. A temporal GIS for Field Based Environmental Modeling." *Environmental Modelling & Software.* 53: 1-12.

16. Graham, S.E. and T. McCurdy. 2004. "Developing Meaningful Cohorts for Human Exposure Models." *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 14: 23-43.

17. Gurram, S. A.L. Stuart, and A.R. Pinjari. 2019. "Agent-based Modeling to Estimate Exposures to Urban Air Pollution from Transportation: Exposure Disparities and Impacts of High-resolution Data." *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*. 75: 22-34.

18. Halvorsen, R.S., S. Mazzoni, J.S. Dirksen, E. Naesset, T. Gobakken, and M. Ohlson. 2016. "How Important are Choice of Model Selection Method and Spatial Autocorrelation of Presence Data for Distribution Modelling by Maxent?" *Ecological Modelling*. 328:108-118.

19. Heppenstall, A., A. Crooks, N. Malleson, E. Manley, J.Ge, and M. Batty. 2021. Future developments in geographical agent-based models: Challenges and opportunities. *Geographical Analysis* 53: 76-91.

20. Hijmans, R.J. 2012. "Cross-validation of Species Distribution Models: Removing Spatial Sorting Bias and Calibration with a Null Model." *Ecology*. 93 (no.3): 679-688. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0826.1

21. Huang, Q., D.C. Parker, T. Filatova, and S. Sun. 2014. "A Review of Urban Residential Choice Models Using Agent-base Modeling." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design.* 41: 661-689.

22. Huang, Y-N, B.J. Reich, M. Fuentes, and A. Sankarasubramanian. 2019. "Complete Spatial Model Calibration." *The Annals of Applied Statistics*. 13 (no.2): 746-766.

23. Jakeman, A.J., R.A. Letcher, and J.P. Norton. 2006. "Ten Iterative Steps in Development and Evaluation of Environmental Models." *Environmental Modeling and Software.* 21, no. 5 (May): 602-614.

24. Jarvis, Claire H., and Neil Stuart. 2001a. "A Comparison Between Strategies for Interpolating Maximum and Minimum Daily Air Temperatures. Part I: The Selection of "Guiding" Topographic and Land Cover Variables." *Journal of Applied Meteorology.* 40, no. 6 (June): 1060-1074.

25. Jarvis, Claire H., and Neil Stuart. 2001b. "A Comparison Between Strategies for Interpolating Maximum and Minimum Daily Air Temperatures. Part II: The Interaction Between Number of Guiding Variables and the Type of Interpolation Method." *Journal of Applied Meteorology.* 40, no. 6 (June): 1075-1084.

26. Jiang, N. A. Crooks, W. Wang, and Y. Xie. 2021. "Simulating Urban Shrinkage in Detroit via Agent-based Modeling." *Sustainability*. 13: 2283.

27. Jones, K., D. Manley, R. Johnston, and D. Owen. 2018. "Modelling Residential Segregation as Uneveness and Clustering: A Multilevel Modelling Approach Incorporating Dependence and Tackling MAUP." *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science.* 45(no.6): 1122-1141.

28. Kanarogloua, P.S., M. Jerrett, J. Morrison, B. Beckerman, A. Arain, N.L. Gilbert, and J.R. Brook. 2005. "Establishing an Air Pollution Monitoring Network for Intra-urban Population Exposure Assessment: A Location-Allocation Approach." *Atmospheric Environment.* 39, no. 13 (April): 2399-2409.

29. Kauhl, B., J. Schweikart, T. Krafft, A. Keste, M. Moskwyn. 2016. "Do the Risk Factors for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Vary by Location? A Spatial Analysis of Health Insurance Claims in Northeastern Germany Using Kernel Density Estimation & Geographically Weighted Regression." *International Journal of Health Geographies.* 15: 38.

30. Kwan, Mei-Po. 2018. "The Neighborhood Effect: Contextual Uncertainties in Geographic, Environmental Health, and Social Science Research." *Annals of the American Association of Geographers.* 108(no.6): 1482-1490.

31. Lee, M. Y. Chun, and D.A. Griffith. 2018. Error propagation in spatial modeling of public health data: a simulation approach using pediatric blood lead level data for Syracuse, New York. *Environmental Geochemical Health*. 40: 667-681.

32. Li, K. and N.S.N. Lam. 2018. "Geographically Weighted Elastic Net: A Variable-Selection and Modeling Method Under the Spatial Spatially Nonstationary Condition." *Annals of the American Association of Geographers.* 108(6): 1582-1600.

33. Li, K., R. Habra, H. Deng, R. Urman, J. Morrison, F.D. Gilliand, et al. 2019. "Applying Multivariate Segmentation Methods to Human Activity Recognition from Wearable Sensors' Data." *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 7,no. 2 (Feb): e11201.

34. Lilburne, L. and S. Tarantola. 2009. "Sensitivity of Spatial Models." *International Journal of Geographic Information Science*. 23(no.2): 151-168.

35. Maglio, P., M.J. Sepulveda, and P.L. Mabry. 2014. "Mainstreaming Modeling and Simulation to Accelerate Public Health Innovation." *American Journal of Public Health*. 104(no 7): 1181-1186.

36. Mallalo, A., L. Mao, P. Rashidi, and G. E. Glass. 2019. "A GIS-Based Artificial Neural Network Model for Spatial Distribution of Tuberculosis Across the Continental United States." *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 16:157.

37. Manyangadze, T., M.J. Chimbari, M. Gebreslasie, P. Ceccato, and S. Mukaratirwa. 2016. "Modelling the Spatial and Seasonal Distribution of Suitable Habitats of Schistosomiasis Intermediate Host Snails using Maxent in Ndumo Area, KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa." *Parasites & Vectors*. 9:572 doi:10.11861s13071-016-1834-5.

38. Marusek, L.C., C. Cockburn, P.K. Mills, and B.R. Ritz. 2006. "Control Selection and Pesticide Exposure Assessment via GIS in Prostate Cancer Studies." *American Journal of Preventative Medicine.* 30(2S): S109-S116.

39. Mennis, J. 2006. "Mapping the Results of Geographically Weighted Regression." *Cartographic Journal.* 43, no. 2 (July): 171-179.

40. Moore, D.K., M. Jerrett, W.J. Mack, and N. Künzli. 2007. "A Land Use Regression Model for Predicting Ambient Fine Particulate Matter Across Los Angeles, California." *Journal of Environmental Monitoring.* 9: 246-252.

41. Mustapha, K, W. Gilli, J-M Frayret, N. Lahrich, and E. Karimi. 2016. "Agent-Based Simulation Patient Model for Colon and Colorectal Cancer Care Trajectory." *Procedia Computer Science*. 100: 188-197.

42. Muttalib, F., E. Ballard, J. Langton, S. Malone, Y. Fonseca, A. Hansmann, K. Remy, P. Hovmand, and A. Doctor. 2021. Application of systems dynamic and group model building to identify barriers and facilitators to acute care delivery in a resource limited setting. *BMC Health Services Research*. 21: 26.

43. Neuwirth, C., B. Hofer, and A. Peck. 2015. "Spatiotemporal Processes and Their Implementation in Spatial System Dynamics Models." *Journal of Spatial Science*. 60 (no.2): 277-288.

44. O'Sullivan, D and G. Perry. 2013. *Spatial Simulation: Exploring Pattern and Process.* Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. (available as an e-book from USC Libraries)

45. Patterson, L., M. Urban, A. Myers, B. Bhaduri, E. Bright, and P. Coleman. 2007. "Assessing Spatial and Attribute Errors in Large National Datasets for Population Distribution Models: A Case Study of Philadelphia County Schools." *GeoJournal.* 69, no. 1-2 (June): 93-102.

46. Phillips, S.J., R.P Anderson, and R.E. Schapire. 2006. "Maximum Entropy Modeling of Species Geographic Distributions." *Ecological Modeling.* 190 (3-4): 231-259.

47. Qiang, Y. 2021. Geospatial Analysis and Model Building. *The Geographic Information Sciences and Technology Body of Knowledge*. (1st Quarter, 2021 Ed) (J.P. Wilson, ed).

48. Schmolke, A., P. Thorbek, P. Chapman, and V. Grimm. 2010a. "Ecological Models and Pesticide Risk Assessment: Current Modeling Practice." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry.* 29, no. 4 (April): 1006-1012.

49. Schmolke, A., P. Thorbek, D. L. DeAngelis, and V. Grimm. 2010b. "Ecological Models Supporting Environmental Decision Making: A Strategy for the Future." *Trends in Ecology and Evolution.* 25, no 8 (August): 479-486.

50. Shipley, B.R., R. Bach, Y. Do, H. Strathearn, J. L. McGuire, and B. Dilkina. 2022. megaSDM: Integrating dispersal and time-step analyses into species distribution models. *Ecography*. 1.

51. Spielman, S.E. and D.C. Folch. 2015. Reducing uncertainy in the American Community Survey through data-driven regionalization. *PLOSOne*. February. pp.21.

52. Speilman, S.E., D.C. Folch, and N. Nagle. 2014. Patterns and causes of uncertainy in the American Community Survey. *Applied Geography*. 46: 147-157.

53. Spielman, S.E. and A. Singleton. 2015. Studying neighborhoods using uncertain data from the American Community Survey: A Contextual Approach. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers.* 105: 1003-1025

54. Stewart, K., J. Fan, and E. White. 2013. "Thinking About Space-Time Connections: Spatiotemporal Scheduling of Individual Activities." *Transactions in GIS.* 17, no.6: 791-807.

55. Taboada, M., E. Cabrera, F. Epelde, Ma L. Iglesia, and E. Luque. 2013. "Using Agent-Based Simulation for Predicting the Effects of Patients Derivation Policies in Emergency Departments." *Procedia Computer Science*. 18:641-650.

56. Tate. E. 2012. Social vulnerability indices: a comparative assessment using uncertainty and sensitivity analysis. *Natural Hazards*. 63: 325-347

57. Thomson, D.R., D.A. Rhoda, A.J. Tatem, and M.C. Castro. 2020. "Gridded population survey sampling: a systematic scoping review of the field and strategic research agenda." *International Journal of Health Geography*. 19:34.

58. Thomson, D.R., F.R. Stevens, N.W. Ruktanonchai, A. Tatum, and M. Castro. 2017. "GridSample: An R-package to Generate Household Survey Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) from gridded Population Data." *International Journal of Health Geography.* 16:25.

59. Tracy, M., M. Cerdá, and K.M Keye. 2018. "Agent-Based Modeling in Public Health: Current Applications and Future Directions." *Annual Review of Public Health.* 38: 77-94.

60. van der Sluijs, J.P. 2002. "A Way Out of the Credibility Crisis of Models Used in Integrated Environmental Assessment." *Futures.* 34, no. 2 (March): 133-146.

61. Weisberg, M., 2007. "Who is a Modeler?" *Brit. J. Phil. Sci.* 58: 207-233

62. Wilson, J.P. and P.A. Burrough. 1999. "Dynamic Models, Geostatistics, and Fuzzy Classification: New Sneakers for a New Geography?" *Annals of the Association of American Geographers.* 89: 736-46.

63. Zellner, M.L., L.B. Lyons, C.J. Hoch, J. Weizeorick, C. Kunda, and D.C. Milz. 2012. "Modeling, Learning, and Planning Together: An Application of Participatory Agent-base Modeling to Environmental Planning." *URISA Journal.* 24(1): 77-92.

64. Zhai, X., A.G. Russell, P. Sampath, J.A. Mulholland, B-U Kim, Y. Kim, and D. D'Onofrio. 2016. "Calibrating R-LINE Model Results with Observational Data to Develop Annual Mobile Source Air Pollutant Fields at Fine Spatial Resolution: Application in Atlanta." *Atmospheric Environment*. 147: 446-457.

Supplemental Readings

Students may utilize any of the following readings as supplemental materials, as background if needed on a certain GIS-related concept or for further exploration of topics covered in discussions. Many, though perhaps not all, are listed in the syllabus where they may support primary course material.

1. Buzzelli, M., J. Su, B. Ainslie, D. Steyn, M. Brauer, and T. Larson. 2006. "A GIS Spatiotemporal Model of Ambient Air Pollution Exposure." *Epidemiology.* 17: S112-S118.

2. Green, K., R.G. Congalton, and M. Tukam (2017). *Imagery and GIS: Best Practices for Extracting Information from Imagery.* Redlands, CA: Esri Press. (as needed for remote sensing background) (NOT available via USC Libraries)

3. Jaga, K., and C. Dharmani. 2005. "The Epidemiology of Pesticide Exposure and Cancer: A Review." Reviews on Environmental Health 20, no. 1 (January): 15-38. (optional)

4. Jerrett, M., A. Arain, P. Kanaroglou, B. Beckerman, D. Potoglou, T. Sahsuvaroglu, J. Morrison, and C. Giovis. 2005. "A Review and Evaluation of Intraurban Air Pollution Exposure Models." *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology* 15: 185-204. (optional review)

5. Longley, P.A., M.F. Goodchild, D.J. Maguire, and D.W. Rhind. 2015. *Geographic Information Systems and Science*. 4th ed. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons. (Selected chapters) (available from USC Libraries Grand Depository)

6. Martin, D.J. 2008. "Social Data." In *The Handbook of Geographic Information Science,* edited by Wilson, John P. and A. Stewart Fotheringham, 35-59. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. (optional)

7. Skidmore, A., 1st ed. 2002. *Environmental Modeling with GIS and Remote Sensing.* London: Taylor and Francis. (Optional reading for modeling background) (hardcopy available at VKC)

Description and Assessment of Assignments

Students must participate in class discussions on a regular basis, complete technical exercises and prepare written assignments in the form of model reports, and prepare and complete presentations to the class.

Student-Led Discussions – worth 10 points: A class participation grade for the semester will be assigned based upon how actively students engage in the course. Students will be required to read all material outlined for each week of the course and be prepared to lead and participate in group discussions about the readings in class. Failure to attend or to be adequately prepared to discuss the readings will lead to the assignment of a lower grade for that week.

Conceptual Model – 1 worth 5 points: At the start of the semester students will work up and present a conceptual model of their respective research. A discussion on conceptual models will precede this assignment and the conceptual model should be developed utilizing these concepts (yup) and readings. This assignment is designed to provide students the opportunity to holistically examine and model their research question and variables, an integral part of developing spatially explicit methodologies and workflows.

Modeling Exercises – 4 worth 40 points: Throughout the semester students will complete four exercises that provide students the opportunity to utilize and reflect upon the technical aspects of various modeling software. Students will implement the model design to health issues/projects of their own. These exercises will require independent background research of the models and/or modeling software and incorporate written reviews of supporting documentation. The modeling exercise may take some time to work through and deliverables will consist of final model outputs plus a write up of 5-6 pages.

In-Class Presentation – 1 worth 15 points: Students will conduct a seminar on a topic determined in consultation with the instructor in the second half of the classes scheduled in Weeks 10 and 11. A one-page summary will be distributed in advance of the class itself and the topic may be an evaluation of a model or software (Caline, RePast, etc.), a complex systems subject (fractals, modifiable areal unit problems, neural networks, etc.) or some specific technique or application that is relevant but not otherwise covered in the course.

Final Project – 1 worth 30 points: In the second half of the course, students will work on projects determined in consultation with the instructor. Students will construct a spatial model to address some geographically relevant health problem, or problem of their own choosing. The final report and class presentation will summarize insights from each phase of the modeling process as experienced in the problem context.

Grading Breakdown

Assessment	Number	Points Each	% of Grade
Student-Led Discussions			10
Conceptual Model	1	5	5
Modeling Exercises	4	10	40
In-Class Presentation	1	15	15
Final Project	1	30	30
Total	7	-	100

Assignment Submission Policy

Assignments must be submitted via Brightspace by the due dates specified in the Course Schedule. Attention to on-time assignment submission is essential. The instructor will aim to return feedback before the next assignment is due.

Strict penalties apply for late assignments as follows:

- All assignments will be penalized 2 points up to four days late. No points will be given for submissions more than four days late.
- Additionally, no written work will be accepted for grading after 5 p.m. PT on the last day of classes.

SSI Policy on the Creation of Original Work and Use of Generative AI

All students are expected to submit assignments that represent their own original work, and that have been prepared specifically for the course or section for which they have been submitted. Students may not have another person or entity complete any substantive portion of an assignment or reuse work prepare for courses without obtaining written permission from the instructor(s). Developing strong competencies in research, writing, and the technical execution of geospatial technologies are foundational to SSI academic programs that are designed to prepare you for success in the workplace. Therefore, using generative AI tools –

unless explicitly specified otherwise – is strictly prohibited in this course, will be identified as plagiarism, and will be reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.

Grading Timeline

My goal is to provide grading and feedback on each course assignment in a timely fashion and with enough time to incorporate feedback in subsequent assignments.

Learning Experience Evaluations

Please note Learning Experience Evaluations for the course take place at the end of the semester and are facilitated by the University. These evaluations provide an important review of student experiences in the course.

Schedule

	Topic	Readings and Assignments	Deliverables/Due Dates
Module 1: Fundamentals of Spatial Models			
Week 1 1/16	**Introduction to Class** Brief introductions coupled with a discussion of class goals, projects, technology, reading assignments, and conceptual models.	Maglio et al (2014); Wilson & Burrough (1999); Crooks et al (2019) Forward, Ch1; Skidmore (2002) Ch 1 (optional, as needed)	No deliverables.
Week 2 1/23* *Monday, 1/20 is a university holiday	**Presentations and Discussion of Conceptual Models** **Why Use Models and Differentiating Spatial Models** Discuss the importance and value of modeling processes. We discuss what differentiates spatially explicit models and spatial modeling software.	O'Sullivan & Perry (2013) Ch. 1; Qiang, Y. (2021) Gebbert and Pebesma (2014); Stewart et al. (2013); Brimicombe (2010) Ch. 2; Longley et al (2015) Ch. 14, 15 (as needed); Skidmore (2002) Ch. 2 (as needed)	Conceptual Model

		Topic	Readings and Assignments	Deliverables/Due Dates
Week 3 1/30		**The Art of Modeling and Avoiding Bias in Models:** Discuss the various features of successful modeling applications, including the need for parsimony, transparency, patience, and the human element of modeling. How these elements can be captured and passed to the users and use cases; how to avoid model bias	Alexandrov et al. (2011); Jakeman et al. (2006); Schmolke et al. (2010a, b); O'Sullivan & Perry (2013) Ch. 3; de Vos et al. (2013); Weisberg (2007);	No deliverables.
Week 4 2/6		**Rethinking and Preparing Models in a GIS:** A discussion of the importance of and methods used to revise and process a variety of social and environmental datasets, and new data sources for use with a GIS and spatial models.	Crooks, et al. (2019) Ch. 9; Boulton et al. (2011); Bhaduri et al. (2007); Thomson et al (2017); Thomson et al (2020); Patterson et al (2007); Boo et al (2020) Li et al (2019) Martin (2008) (optional) Green et al (2017) Ch. 3, 4, 7, and 9 (if unfamiliar with Remote Sensing)	Modeling Exercise #1
Module 2: Modeling Environmental Systems and Health				
Week 5 2/13		**Patterns and Processes:** A discussion of some of the ways forces of attraction and segregation, individual mobile entities, and processes of spread are featured in models of social and environmental systems.	O'Sullivan & Perry (2013) Ch. 2; Brimicombe (2010) Ch. 9; Jarvis & Stuart (2001a, b); Jones et al (2018); Briggs (2005); Buzzelli et al. (2006)	No deliverables.
Week 6 2/20* *Monday, 2/1 is university holiday		**Uncertainty and Error Propagation in Models:** A review of the importance of data quality and discussion of some of the inherent errors in data and the effects of uncertainty in model inputs	Brimicombe (2010) Ch. 8; Kwan (2018); de Brito et al. (2019); Tate (2012); Lee et al. (2018) Spielman et al. (2014); Spielman & Singleton (2015); Spielman & Folch (2018); Lilburne and Tarantola (2009) Patterson et al. (2007)	No deliverables.

		Topic	Readings and Assignments	Deliverables/Due Dates
Week 7 2/27		**Weighing the Influence of Variables:** A discussion of spatially explicit variables in distribution models (Maxent) and when spatially heterostatic (GWR)	Halverson et al (2016); Mennis (2006); Phillips et al (2006); Manyanngadze et al (2016); Kauhl et al (2016) Li (2018) Brunsdon et al. (1996) (if needed)	Modeling Exercise #2
Week 8 3/6		**Model Calibration & Validation:** An introduction to the special challenges and issues that are confronted when using GIS tools to describe and model place-based exposures and the spatial distributions of phenomena of interest.	O'Sullivan & Perry (2013) Ch. 7; Zhai et al (2016); Hijmas (2012); Huang (2019); Zhai et al (2016)	No deliverables.
**Module 3: Modeling Social Systems**			
Week 9 3/13		**Dynamic System Models:** A discussion of the various ways stocks accumulate and flows occur in time and the mathematical modeling protocols used for stocks (integrals) and flows (differentials). Introduction to System Dynamic models.	Mutaalib et al (2021); Neuwirth et al (2015); Daniel et al (2018); Currie et al (2018); Galea et al (2009)	Modeling Exercise #3
Week 10 3/27		**Agent-Based Models:** A discussion of some of the ways which agents have been used to represent mobile individuals in dynamic models of human and environmental systems, and how complex system dynamics may be agent/individual-based or differential equation-based or both.	Abar et al (2017) Crooks et al (2019) Ch. 2, 3, and 7; Tracy et al (2018); Taboada et al (2013); Mustapha et al (2012)	No deliverables.
Week 11 4/3		**ABM in GIS:** Incorporating ABM into GIS.	Crooks et al (2019) (selected chapters); Li (2020); Jiang et al (2019); Zellner et al (2012); Huang et al. (2014); Gurram et al. (2019); Heppenstall et al (2021)	Presentation summary

	Topic	Readings and Assignments	Deliverables/Due Dates
Module 4: Coupled Human-Environment Systems			
Week 12 4/10	**Presentations:** In-class presentations on model or software evaluation.		Presentation
Week 13 4/17	**Modeling the Built and Social Environments:** Discussion of the interactions of our dynamic built environment and social environment with health outcomes and various forms of exposure	Moore et al. (2007); Kanarogloua et al. (2005); Marusek et al. (2006); **Additional readings TBD**	
Module 5: Research Trends and the Future of Spatial Modeling			
Week 14 4/24	**Spatial and Computational Models:** Discuss the distinction between spatial and computational models and an introduction to how spatially explicit models can be transformed.	Mallalo et al. (2019); Shipley et al (2022) Crooks et al (2019) Ch. 12 **Additional readings TBD**	Modeling Exercise #4
Week 15 5/1* Friday, 5/2 last day of classes	**Final Presentations:** Students will present their projects, summarizing the insights garnered from each phase of the modeling process as experienced in their specific problem context.		Final project presentations in class. Final report due by 5 p.m. on last day of classes 5/2
Final Exams 5/7-5/14	**Final Assessment Due**		

Statement on Academic Conduct and Support Systems

Academic Integrity:

The University of Southern California is a learning community committed to developing successful scholars and researchers dedicated to the pursuit of knowledge and the dissemination of ideas. Academic misconduct, which includes any act of dishonesty in the production or submission of academic work, comprises the integrity of the person who commits the act and can impugn the perceived integrity of the entire university community. It stands in opposition to the university's mission to research, educate, and contribute productively to our community and the world.

All students are expected to submit assignments that represent their own original work, and that have been prepared specifically for the course or section for which they have been submitted. You may not submit work written by others or "recycle" work prepared for other courses without obtaining written permission from the instructor(s).

Other violations of academic integrity include, but are not limited to, cheating, plagiarism, fabrication (e.g., falsifying data), collusion, knowingly assisting others in acts of academic dishonesty, and any act that gains or is intended to gain an unfair academic advantage.

The impact of academic dishonesty is far-reaching and is considered a serious offense against the university. All incidences of academic misconduct will be reported to the Office of Academic Integrity and could result in outcomes such as failure on the assignment, failure in the course, suspension, or even expulsion from the university.

For more information about academic integrity see the student handbook or the Office of Academic Integrity's website, and university policies on Research and Scholarship Misconduct.

Please ask your instructor if you are unsure what constitutes unauthorized assistance on an exam or assignment, or what information requires citation and/or attribution.

Students and Disability Accommodations:

USC welcomes students with disabilities into all of the University's educational programs. The Office of Student Accessibility Services (OSAS) is responsible for the determination of appropriate accommodations for students who encounter disability-related barriers. Once a student has completed the OSAS process (registration, initial appointment, and submitted documentation) and accommodations are determined to be reasonable and appropriate, a Letter of Accommodation (LOA) will be available to generate for each course. The LOA must be given to each course instructor by the student and followed up with a discussion. This should be done as early in the semester as possible as accommodations are not retroactive. More information can be found at osas.usc.edu. You may contact OSAS at (213) 740-0776 or via email at osasfrontdesk@usc.edu.

Support Systems:

Counseling and Mental Health - (213) 740-9355 – 24/7 on call

Free and confidential mental health treatment for students, including short-term psychotherapy, group counseling, stress fitness workshops, and crisis intervention.

988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline - 988 for both calls and text messages – 24/7 on call

The 988 Suicide and Crisis Lifeline (formerly known as the National Suicide Prevention Lifeline) provides free and confidential emotional support to people in suicidal crisis or emotional distress 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, across the United States. The Lifeline is comprised of a national network of over 200 local crisis centers, combining custom local care and resources with national standards and best practices. The new, shorter phone number makes it easier for people to remember and access mental health crisis services (though the previous 1 (800) 273-8255 number will continue to function indefinitely) and represents a continued commitment to those in crisis.

Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention Services (RSVP) - (213) 740-9355(WELL) – 24/7 on call

Free and confidential therapy services, workshops, and training for situations related to gender- and power-based harm (including sexual assault, intimate partner violence, and stalking).

[Office for Equity, Equal Opportunity, and Title IX (EEO-TIX)](#) - *(213) 740-5086*

Information about how to get help or help someone affected by harassment or discrimination, rights of protected classes, reporting options, and additional resources for students, faculty, staff, visitors, and applicants.

[Reporting Incidents of Bias or Harassment](#) - *(213) 740-5086 or (213) 821-8298*

Avenue to report incidents of bias, hate crimes, and microaggressions to the Office for Equity, Equal Opportunity, and Title for appropriate investigation, supportive measures, and response.

[The Office of Student Accessibility Services (OSAS)](#) - *(213) 740-0776*

OSAS ensures equal access for students with disabilities through providing academic accommodations and auxiliary aids in accordance with federal laws and university policy.

[USC Campus Support and Intervention](#) - *(213) 740-0411*

Assists students and families in resolving complex personal, financial, and academic issues adversely affecting their success as a student.

[Diversity, Equity and Inclusion](#) - *(213) 740-2101*

Information on events, programs and training, the Provost's Diversity and Inclusion Council, Diversity Liaisons for each academic school, chronology, participation, and various resources for students.

[USC Emergency](#) - *UPC: (213) 740-4321, HSC: (323) 442-1000 – 24/7 on call*

Emergency assistance and avenue to report a crime. Latest updates regarding safety, including ways in which instruction will be continued if an officially declared emergency makes travel to campus infeasible.

[USC Department of Public Safety](#) - *UPC: (213) 740-6000, HSC: (323) 442-1200 – 24/7 on call*

Non-emergency assistance or information.

[Office of the Ombuds](#) - *(213) 821-9556 (UPC) / (323-442-0382 (HSC)*

A safe and confidential place to share your USC-related issues with a University Ombuds who will work with you to explore options or paths to manage your concern.

[Occupational Therapy Faculty Practice](#) - *(323) 442-2850 or* otfp@med.usc.edu

Confidential Lifestyle Redesign services for USC students to support health promoting habits and routines that enhance quality of life and academic performance.