**ISE 544 - Quality Management for Engineers (3 Units)** 

**Summer Semester 2016** 

Tue. & Th. 9:00 am - 12:20 pm

Room: OHE 100-C

Instructor: Ali Nowroozi Teaching Assistant: TBD

Office: GER216C Office: TBD Hours: Thursdays 3:15 pm – 5:15 pm (by appointment) Hours: TBD Phone: 213-740-0867 (During office hours ONLY) Phone: TBD E-mail\*: nowroozi@usc.edu E-mail\*: TBD

**Objective:** This course provides a framework for understanding and improving the effectiveness of engineering team management. The course will explore theory from disparate areas, including organizational behavior, engineering, and business management. Several aspects of teamwork will be examined, from team formation and team dynamics to decision-making and negotiation.

#### **Text Books (Required):**

- 1. Lawrence Holpp, Managing teams, The McGraw-Hill Companies. ISBN 0-07-071865-2.
- 2. Thompson, L. L., Making the Team: A Guide for Managers, Third Second Edition. 2003. Prentice Hall. ISBN: 0131416588
- 3. Lencioni, P., The Five Dysfunctions of a Team: A Leadership Fable. 2002: Jossey-Bass. ISBN: 0787960756

**Course Material:** Text Book, all topics discussed in lecture by the instructor, guest speaker (if any), other students, and the all related handouts.

**Study Guidelines:** The lectures and class discussions are <u>not</u> limited to reading assignments. Merely reading the text book does not guarantee students' successful completion of the course. The instructor's Class notes are <u>not</u> sufficient either and all students are responsible to take their own notes in the class and use them to study and elevate their understanding of the reading materials and the class discussions.

#### **Performance Evaluation:**

 1. Class Participation
 110

 2. Homework & Case Studies
 240

 3. Exams
 400

 4. Team Project
 250

 Total
 1000

# 1. CLASS PARTICIPATION consists of two portions:

a. TEAM WORK (50 POINTS): After the fist lecture, the class will be broken down into teams, each with at least one in-class spokesperson (THESE TEAMS ARE <u>DIFFERENT FROM</u> THE PROJECT TEAMS). Each team will be assigned one CASE STUDY. The selected team will discuss the case through their group page formed on the discussion board (NOT THROUGH EMAILS) during the week and prepare for the spokes-person's presentation in

\* All written communications with the Instructor or the Teaching Assistant <u>must</u> be through emails. Emails are normally answered in two business days. We will <u>not</u> answer the questions that students post on the Blackboard. The Blackboard forums are for course-related discussions among the students only.

the next class. All other teams should also discuss the case study through their own discussion team and be prepared to have a dialogue with the presenter team. All these discussions will be reviewed and evaluated by the teaching team as a part of class participation evaluation process.

- b. INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATION (60 Points):
- IN CLASS students are evaluated based on the level and the quality of their participation in class discussions. While we do not take attendance in this course, students who miss the lectures will also miss the opportunity to improve their scores through class participation.
- DEN students are <u>primarily</u> evaluated based on their assessment of the class discussions, posted on the discussion board, under the appropriate lecture forum, <u>within one week of the lecture</u>. They are also encouraged to participate <u>live</u> in class discussions and will get credit for that. Text messaging through WebEx is not the most effective way of communication, but it will be accounted for as practically as possible, if a student has no other option.
- ALL STUDENTS TO AVOID USING THE DISCUSSION MEDIA (D2L, WEBEX, ETC.) TO ASK QUESTIONS FROM THE INSTRUCTION TEAM OR TO DISCUSS PERSONAL/NON-COURSE RELATED TOPICS (EXCEPTION: THE WELCOME PAGE OF THE COURSE SITE IS DESIGNED FOR POSTING PERSONAL INFORMATION). ALL QUESTIONSS SHOULD BE <u>EMAILED</u> FIRST TO THE TA AND THEN, IF NOT RESPONDED TO, TO THE INSTRUCTOR, DIRECTLY.
- The Course instructor will be the sole judge of students' level of class participation.
- 2. <u>HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS</u> consist of 3 homeworks and <u>3 case studies</u>. You will be expected to read the case study, write a report on it, and be prepared to discuss the case in class. DEN students will be expected to discuss the case studies via DEN Discussion Board. The HOMEWORKS and CASE STUDIES due dates are shown in the next page. <u>Homeworks and case studies points are distributed as follows:</u>

3 Homeworks	3@30 = 90
3 Case Studies	3@50 = 150
<b>Total Homework &amp; Case Studies</b>	240

- 3. ALL INDIVIDIAL ASSIGNMENTS MUST BE PERFORNED **INDIVIDUALLY**, NO "TEAMWORK" ALLOWED HERE! While it is inevitable to answer some "definition" questions using book words (e.g. "what is the definition of Critical Path Method?"), students must attempt to use their intellect and answer the questions in their own words. In case of necessity, appropriate quotation is required. The students who miss the deadlines and submit homeworks and case studies up to one week late, will be graded based on 80% of that assignment total points. No assignment will be accepted afterwards.
- 4. **THREE EXAMS** will be given on with the following points distribution:

EXAM #1 (1 Hour – In Class)	100
EXAM #2 (Take-Home)	200
FINAL EXAM (Optional)	100
<b>Total EXAMS</b>	400

The exams will cover the material presented up to and including the preceding lecture. Points will be assigned to each section of the exam. Partial credit will be awarded according to work shown. No re-takes will be allowed. No make-up exam will be given. **THE EXAMS WILL BE OPEN-BOOK AND IN-CLASS, 2 HOURS LONG**.

5. A <u>TEAM PROJECT</u> will be assigned on SESSION 3, the project criteria and grading structure will be explained on SESSION 4. The teams will present their projects on the last two sessions of the class (Nov 17 and Dec 01). <u>ALL PROJECT REPORTS ARE DUE ON JUNE 22, AT THE END OF THE CLASS.</u>

# 6. LINES OF COMMUNICATION:

- All students <u>must</u> follow the communication protocol set forth by the Instruction team.
   Assignments that are supposed to be posted on the course site will NOT be graded if submitted via other media (email, hardcopy, etc.), unless specifically and exceptionally agreed, in advance.
- Assignments must be submitted in the exact format instructed by the TA and/or the instructor. In cases of discrepancy, the instructor's guidelines supersede. Assignments that do not follow submittal guidelines (File type, naming structure, content format, etc.) will NOT be graded.
- All students are responsible for proper communication and for timely transfer of their
  assignment files. All students to make sure all their communication lines are open and they
  are able to properly upload their files during the FIRST WEEK of the semester. In the
  exceptional case of possible glitch in the DEN system, IF IT CAN BE PROVEN, a student
  may submit the assignment by email. In this case, the "Date Created" attribute of the
  submitted file will be considered as the submittal date.
- All students are responsible to have proper training with the D2L system. If you need to schedule a training please contact DEN staff directly (or through the course TA) to arrange for a training session as soon as possible.

All due dates are provided in the next page: "Assignments & Due Dates". All students must take the exams on the exam dates and times. Exceptions only include special cases such as sickness, time zone difference (for DEN students), or such unavoidable circumstances. PLEASE DO NOT SCHEDULE ANY TRIPS ON THE EXAM DATES. PERSONAL OR BUSINESS ENGAGEMENTS DO NOT JUSTIFY EXAM DATE & TIME CHANGES.

#### **Final Grades:**

Course FINAL GRADES will be determined by the distribution of point totals for the class. "Natural groupings" will be used to assign letter grades. The highest scoring group will receive A's, the next group is the A-'s, and so on. A single point will not be the difference between any two letter grades. A "gap" must exist to create a grade boundary. If there are no gaps, everyone could get A's!

THE GRADING PROCESS WILL BE EXPLAINED IN DETAILS IN THE SECOND LECTURE. STUDENTS ARE RESPONSIBLE TO UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS CLEARLY AS THEIR ACTUAL PERFOMANCE MAY DIFFER FROM THEIR PERCIEVED PERFORMANCE WHICH IS CONVENTIONALLY BASED ON PERCENTAGES ONLY.

THIS IS A QUALITICATIVE MANAGEMENT COURSE AND STUDENTS PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT IS SUBJECT TO THE INSTRUCTOR'S JUDGEMENT. EACH STUDENT'S

PERFORMANCE DATA (<u>TACIT INFORMATION</u>) COMBINED WITH THE INSTRUCTOR'S INTUITIVE ASSESSMENT OF HIS/HER LEVEL OF EFFORT AND LERANIG EXPERIENCE (<u>IMPLICIT INFORMATION</u>) WILL DETERMINE THAT STUDENT'S OVERALL GRADE. ULTIMATELY, THE INSTRUCTOR WILL BE THE SOLE JUDGE OF ALL STUDENTS' INCREMENTAL AND FINAL GPA'S.

# **Assignments and Due Dates:**

WEEK	DATE	TOPIC	ASSIGNMENTS DISTRIBUTION	ASSIGNMENTS DUE	READING ASSIGNMENT
1	May 19	Introduction: INDIVIDUALS Versus TEAMS			Handout Thompson Ch.1
2	May 24	Team formation Team performance	HW #1 Case #1		Thompson Ch.s 2&4 Holpp Ch.1
3	May 26	Teamwork Culture,	Term Projects	HW #1 (30 points)	Thompson Ch. 5 Holpp Ch.2
N/A	May 31	Communication & Collective Intelligence			Thompson Ch. 6 Holpp Ch. 4
4	June 02	Competition & cooperation			Thompson Ch.s 8&12 Holpp Ch.10
5	June 07	Cohesion & Conflict Case Study 1 Discussion (Exam #1 – 100 Points)	HW #2 Case #2	Case #1 (50 points)	Thompson Ch.s 8&12 Holpp Ch.10
6	June 09	Human Resource Practices		HW #2 (30 points)	Thompson Ch.3 Holpp Ch.12, Handout
7	June 14	Leadership	HW #3 Case #3	Case #2 (50 points)	Thompson Ch. 11 Holpp Chs 7&8, 9&11
8	June 16	Decision making, Problem Solving, Team Negotiation	Take-Home Exam #2 (200 Points)	HW #3 (30 Points)	Thompson Ch. 7 Holpp Ch. 8
9	June 21	Creativity, A lesson from Chaos Theory Case Study 2 Discussion	,	Case #3 (50 points) Exam #2	Thompson Ch. 9 Handout
10	June 23	Term Project Presentations			
11	June 28	Final Exam GOOD LUCK! (100 Points)			

#### **Outreach DEN Students**

This course is conducted in outreach format, meaning, it is available to off-campus students via video recording and streaming. Students in on-campus or off-campus receive the same instruction and perform the same tasks.

## **Language Support Systems**

USC provides support for students who need help with scholarly writing. Students whose primary language is not English should check with the American Language Institute http://dornsife.usc.edu/ali, which sponsors courses and workshops specifically for international graduate students.

### **Emergency Services**

If an officially declared emergency makes travel to campus infeasible, USC Emergency Information http://emergency.usc.edu will provide safety and other updates, including ways in which instruction will be continued by means of D2L, blackboard, teleconferencing, and other technology.

#### **Statement for Students with Disabilities**

Any student requesting academic accommodations based on a disability is required to register with Disability Services and Programs (DSP) each semester. A letter of verification for approved accommodations can be obtained from DSP. Please be sure the letter is delivered to the professor(s) as early in the semester as possible. DSP is located in STU 301 and is open 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. The phone number for DSP is (213) 740-0776.

# **Academic Integrity**

USC seeks to maintain an optimal learning environment. The Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering adheres to the University's policies and procedures governing academic integrity as described in Scampus, the Student Guidebook. General principles of academic honesty include the concept of respect for the intellectual property of others, the expectation that individual work will be submitted unless otherwise allowed by an instructor, and the obligations both to protect one's own academic work from misuse by others as well as to avoid using another's work as one's own. Scampus, contains the Student Conduct Code in Section 11.00, while the recommended sanctions are located in Appendix A. Students will be referred to the Office of Student Judicial Affairs and Community Standards for further review, should there be any suspicion of academic dishonesty. The Review process can be found at http://www.usc.edu/student-affairs/SJACS. All students are expected to understand and abide by these principles, as they will be strictly enforced throughout the semester. Note: This syllabus is subject to change.