

PPD 500

Intersectoral Leadership

Summer 2017

William D. Leach, Ph.D.
leachw@price.usc.edu

Josh F. W. Cook, M.S.Ed.
cookjosh@usc.edu

24/7 Technical Support
(877) 646-1885
<http://usc.echelp.org/>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Course Description](#)

[Course Objectives](#)

[Textbooks & Materials](#)

[Grading](#)

[Policies](#)

[Statement on Academic Conduct and Support Systems](#)

[About the Instructor](#)

[Live Session Schedule](#)

15 Weekly Activities

[1](#) | [2](#) | [3](#) | [4](#) | [5](#) | [6](#) | [7](#) | [8](#) | [9](#) | [10](#) | [11](#) | [12](#) | [13](#) | [14](#) | [15](#)

Course Description

PPD 500 – Intersectoral Leadership: Roles of public, private, nonprofit, and civil society sectors in policy, planning, and development. Leadership skills in negotiation, conflict resolution, institutional design, problem solving.

In today's world of dynamic change and globalization, social challenges have become increasingly complex. Solving society's most pressing issues now requires innovation and collaboration. These issues call for leaders who are able to move beyond their areas of expertise and work across the public, private, and nonprofit sectors to find vital solutions. ~ "About the Price School" <http://priceschool.usc.edu/about/>

An important focus of the Price School of Public Policy is its recognition that problem solving and community building require the combined strengths of the public, private, and nonprofit sectors. In turn, working across the various sectors requires an understanding of institutional complexity, and an ability to resolve conflict and seek collaborative solutions. This course provides a foundation in understanding institutional arrangements and developing the tools and skill base necessary for effective policy development, planning, and management across sectors. The course serves as part of a common core for the five core masters' degree programs in USC Price. In addition to providing a substantive link across these programs, the course serves as a forum for developing the different contributions made by our various professions and will offer an opportunity for common shared experiences among students from different programs.

In a variety of professional fields ranging from healthcare to planning to policy analysis to public administration, a shared characteristic is engagement across the public, private, and nonprofit sectors. This course is designed as an introduction to the emerging phenomena of collaborative governance and leadership, with an emphasis on the major conceptual issues, theories, and debates. It explores how and when collaborative strategies can improve public decision making, public administration, and policy implementation. It also builds skills and knowledge to design, negotiate, manage, navigate, evaluate, and lead initiatives that have intersectoral dimensions. Of particular interest are the varied mechanisms in play across sectors and place-based approaches, not only in the United States, but also globally. The case discussions throughout the course are place-based examples.

Course Objectives

By the end of this course, you will be able to:

1. Discuss the history and evolution of collaborative governance in the United States.
2. Analyze the institutional and stakeholder context of public problems.
3. Compare the structure, procedures, and goals of various types of intersectoral collaboration such as advisory committees and public-private partnerships.

4. Evaluate whether collaborative strategies are appropriate in a given context, and argue for or against using collaborative versus agonistic approaches to improve public administration or policy outcomes.
5. Articulate the key features of principled, interest-based negotiation for intersectoral leadership.
6. Participate successfully as a stakeholder in collaborative intersectoral processes.
7. Consult with professional mediators to design, lead, manage, and facilitate intersectoral consensus-building processes.
8. Collaborate effectively across cultures.
9. Communicate about intersectoral issues through written and verbal presentations.

Textbooks & Materials

Required Books:

- *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*, 2nd edition or newer, Roger Fisher, William Ury, and Bruce Patton (Penguin, 2011). **ISBN-13:** 978-0143118756
- *Community-Based Collaboration: Bridging Socio-Ecological Research and Practice*. Edited by Frank Dukes, Karen Firehock, and Juliana Birkhoff (University of Virginia Press). Available in hardcopy (2011), paperback (2016), Kindle, or online through the USC library. **ISBN-13:** 978-0813938752 <https://muse-jhu-edu.libproxy1.usc.edu/book/16283>
- One additional book on leadership, of the student's choice, subject to instructor approval. For a list of pre-approved books, refer to the Week 13 Discussion in Moodle.

Negotiation Simulation - License and Software:

- Before Week 5, students need to register for a personal account with iDecisionGames. The total cost of the registration and license fees is \$33.00. Register using Access Code PPD500 here: <https://idecisiongames.com?code=PPD500>
- Note: iDecisionGames requires the free Chrome internet browser available at: <https://www.google.com/chrome/>

Articles and e-books:

- Straus, David (2002) *How to Make Collaboration Work: Powerful Ways to Build Consensus, Solve Problems, and Make Decisions*. Berrett Koehler Press. Available through the USC library: <http://site.ebrary.com/lib/uscisd/detail.action?docID=10315440>
- Weekly readings provided through a Google Drive folder in the General section of the course in Moodle.

Library Access

As a USC student, you have access to all the USC library resources. For details, see: <http://libguides.usc.edu/distancelearning>

Communication

Instructor Announcements

The Instructor Announcements forum in the General section of the course contains an archive of all announcements regarding section-specific information or other important news as the course progresses. Whenever an instructor posts an announcement, an email will be sent to each student on the roster.

Yammer

Yammer is tool for informal communication among the students and the instructors, and can be accessed via an embedded module in the course or through the Yammer smartphone app. Via email, students will receive an invitation to join the Yammer group for PPD 500.

Office Hours

Students are always welcome to arrange to speak with the instructors by appointment via phone or video conference. Email is usually the best way to schedule a time to talk.

Grading Policies

Numeric Ranges for Final Course Grades

Grade	Range		Grade	Range
A	≥ 93%		C+	≥ 77%, < 80%
A-	≥ 90% < 93%		C	≥ 73%, < 77%
B+	≥ 87% < 90%		C-	≥ 70%, < 73%
B	≥ 83%, < 87%		D	≥ 60%, < 70%
B-	≥ 80%, < 83%		F	< 60%

This course uses a percent based grading schema, as shown below.

Course Components	Percentage of Final Grade
Discussions (15 @ 3%; drop 2 lowest of 17)	45%
Negotiation Simulation Roleplays (2 @ 5%)	10%
Case Study Presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Presentation, 6% ● Individual contributions to group project, 4% 	10%
Individual Paper <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Topic Submission, 1% ● Paper, 14% 	15%
Group Paper <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Topic Submission, 1% ● Paper, 9% ● Presentation, 6% ● Individual contributions to group project, 4% 	20%
TOTAL	100%

Late Assignments

Because the asynchronous online framework allows considerable flexibility for completing the work required in this course, and all syllabus requirements and assignments are available at the beginning of this course for students who wish to work ahead of schedule, no assignments are accepted after their due dates without prior permission from faculty. At their discretion, faculty may grant extensions for extenuating circumstances.

Discussions (45% — best 15 out of 17 @ 3% each)

Each week includes one discussion assignment that addresses the assigned readings for the week. Weeks 4 and 15 also include a discussion of the prior week’s group presentations. Students are expected to write thoughtful responses that demonstrate detailed knowledge of the readings, and that use rational argument or evidence to support all claims. Students will post their initial responses to the discussion prompts by Day 5 of each week, and will pose follow-up questions or comments to two of their classmates by Day 7. Up to 10 points (out of 20) will be deducted if a student does not post the required number of follow-ups.

Weekly Discussion Rubric				
Objective/Criteria	Superior	Proficient	Partially Proficient	Incomplete
Relevance, Application, Originality ___/ 6 points	Addresses the question; uses ideas from the readings; unique perspective; clear focus. (6)	Addresses the question; uses ideas from the readings; usually has clear focus. (4)	Addresses the question but little substance added; inconsistencies in coherence. (2)	Fails to address the question posed, or incoherent. (0)
Insight, Observation, Analysis ___/ 6 points	Offers significant concept or idea, developed in depth, with clear understanding of the assignment. (6)	Offers a valuable perspective; develops ideas; evidences understanding of assignment. (4)	Addresses concepts already highlighted; rudimentary understanding of the assignment. (2)	No clear concept addressed, or serious lack of clarity. (0)
Details/ Evidence ___/ 4 points	Details are effective, explicit, and pertinent to the course. (4)	Details are elaborated and pertinent to the course. (3)	Details lack elaboration or are repetitious. (2)	Details are absent or unrelated. (0)
Grammar, usage, mechanics ___/ 4 points	Few or no errors. (4)	Several minor errors. (3)	Multiple significant errors. (2)	Errors are frequent and severe. (0)

Group Work

This course has four group assignments:

- Case study presentation due Week 03
- Two-player negotiation simulation during Week 05
- Six-player negotiation simulation during Week 07
- Group paper and presentation due Week 14

In Week 02, the instructor will place students in groups of 3 to 5 students to work on the case study presentation, due at the end of Week 03.

In Week 05, students will be grouped in pairs, and will need to arrange a mutually agreeable hour during the week to participate in a two-player online negotiation role-play exercise.

In Week 07, students will be placed in groups of six, and will need to arrange a mutually agreeable 2-hour block to participate in a six-player online negotiation role-play exercise.

In Week 09, the instructor will place students in new groups of 3 to 5 students to work on the group paper and presentation assignment, due at the end of Week 14.

Detailed instructions and grading rubrics for each assignment are provided in Moodle. Once the groups are established, you can find your group by clicking on the 'Roster' link in the right bar of the main course page. Each contributing member of the group will generally receive the same grade for group assignments. Students will also be graded on their individual contribution to group assignments as discussed below.

Individual Contribution to Group Projects (2 @ 4% each)

These grades will be based on the following two items:

- Responding to a peer evaluation survey administered through CATME in weeks 04 and 15 to provide thoughtful feedback on your teammates’ contributions to group work.
- Your teammates’ evaluations of your contributions to group assignments, as recorded in their responses to the CATME peer evaluation surveys.

Note: students who do not contribute appropriately to group assignments may receive zero or partial credit for the assignment, at the discretion of the instructor.

Weekly Structure

Each day of the week is numbered according to the table below. Day 1 is Wednesday, the first day of the beginning of each weekly session. Deadlines for all assignments are stated in day numbers. Assignments are due no later than 11:55 pm. in the Pacific Time zone on the day that is stated within the assignment page and the weekly activity table.

Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7
Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday

Live Session Schedule

This course has 4 Live Sessions. Live sessions are hosted in Zoom® on Day 02 from 6:00-7:30 PM Pacific Time, during Weeks 1, 4, 8, and 12.

The live session schedule is as follows:

- Week 01: May 11, Thursday, 6:00-7:30 p.m. Pacific
- Week 04: June 1, Thursday, 6:00-7:30 p.m. Pacific
- Week 08: June 29, Thursday, 6:00-7:30 p.m. Pacific
- Week 12: July 27, Thursday, 6:00-7:30 p.m. Pacific

Each live session may entail:

- Lectures on course material
- Debriefs of negotiation exercises
- Interactive Q&A with students and faculty

To attend live sessions:

- Open the meeting room at the URL listed below under “Zoom meeting details.”
- The Zoom app will launch automatically (or if it's not yet installed, it will guide you through installation).
- In the Zoom app, connect your audio by computer or by phone (use phone for best audio quality).
- Use headphones with a built-in microphone (e.g. smartphone earbuds) to eliminate echo and feedback noise.

Zoom meeting details:

- Name: PPD 500 Live Sessions
- URL: <https://zoom.us/j/416966906>

Statement on Academic Conduct and Support Systems

Academic Conduct

Plagiarism – presenting someone else’s ideas as your own, either verbatim or recast in your own words – is a serious academic offense with serious consequences. Please familiarize yourself with the discussion of plagiarism in SCampus in Section 11, Behavior Violating University Standards <https://scampus.usc.edu/1100-behavior-violating-university-standards-and-appropriate-sanctions/>. Other

forms of academic dishonesty are equally unacceptable. See additional information in SCampus and university policies on scientific misconduct, <http://policy.usc.edu/scientific-misconduct/>.

Discrimination, sexual assault, intimate partner violence, stalking, and harassment are prohibited by the university. You are encouraged to report all incidents to the Office of Equity and Diversity/Title IX Office <http://equity.usc.edu> and/or to the Department of Public Safety <http://dps.usc.edu>. This is important for the health and safety of the whole USC community. Faculty and staff must report any information regarding an incident to the Title IX Coordinator who will provide outreach and information to the affected party. The sexual assault resource center webpage <http://sarc.usc.edu> fully describes reporting options. Relationship and Sexual Violence Services <https://engemannshc.usc.edu/rsvp> provides 24/7 confidential support.

Support Systems

A number of USC's schools provide support for students who need help with scholarly writing. Check with your advisor or program staff to find out more. Students whose primary language is not English should check with the American Language Institute <http://dornsife.usc.edu/ali>, which sponsors courses and workshops specifically for international graduate students. The Office of Disability Services and Programs http://sait.usc.edu/academicsupport/centerprograms/dsp/home_index.html provides certification for students with disabilities and helps arrange the relevant accommodations. In case of an officially declared emergency, USC Emergency Information <http://emergency.usc.edu/> will provide safety and other updates.

About the Instructor

Bill Leach, Ph.D. is recognized internationally as an authority on collaborative approaches to policymaking and implementation. His studies of collaborative environmental management appear in the top journals in public administration, public policy, political science, and environmental management. Dr. Leach has directed over \$1 million of research sponsored by the National Science Foundation, U.S. EPA, and private philanthropies, and has provided scientific and policy advice to federal and state agencies such as the U.S. Government Accountability Office, National Research Council, and the California Children & Families Commission. Prior to joining USC, he served as Research Director for the Center for Collaborative Policy at California State University, Sacramento, and Assistant Professor in the Department of Public Policy and Administration.



Weekly Activities

* Denotes Price School authors.

Week 01: History and Evolution of Collaborative Governance		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Community-Based Collaboration</i>, Preface and Chapter 1. • *Collaborative Democracy Network (2006) "A Call to Scholars and Teachers of Public Administration, Public Policy, Planning, Political Science, and Related Fields." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 66(s1):168-170. <p>Recommended Readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gastil, John and William M. Keith (2005) "A Nation that (Sometimes) Likes to Talk: A Brief History of Public Deliberation in the United States." Chapter One in <i>The Deliberative Democracy Handbook: Strategies for Effective Civic Engagement in the Twenty-First Century</i>. Edited by John Gastil and Peter Levine, (Jossey-Bass). • *Mazmanian, Daniel A. and Michael E. Kraft (2009) "The Three Epochs of the Environmental Movement." Chapter One in <i>Toward Sustainable Communities: Transition and Transformations in Environmental Policy, Second Edition</i>. Edited by Daniel A. Mazmanian and Michael E. Kraft, (MIT Press). • Bardach, Eugene, and Eric M. Patashnik (2016) "Things governments do" (Appendix B) and "Understanding public and nonprofit institutions" (Appendix C) in <i>A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving, 5th Edition</i>. CQ Press. 	~	~
Week 01 Live Session	Day 2	~
Week 01 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 02: Collaborative Governance - Case Studies & Typologies		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Instructional Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • O'Leary, Rosemary (2013) "Collaboration Across Boundaries: Ten Compelling Ideas." Eldon Fields Lecture, presented to the International City/County Management Association. 	~	~

<p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008) Collaborative governance in theory and practice. <i>Journal of Public Administration Research and Practice</i>, 18(4), 543-571. • Innes, Judith E. and David E. Booher (2010) “Stories from the Field.” Chapter 3 in <i>Planning with Complexity: An Introduction to Collaborative Rationality for Public Policy</i> (Routledge). <p>Recommended Readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • *Musso, Juliet, *Christopher Weare, Thomas Bryer, and *Terry L. Cooper (2011), “Toward ‘strong democracy’ in global cities? Social capital building, theory-driven reform, and the Los Angeles neighborhood council experience.” <i>Public Administration Review</i> 71(1):102–111. • Kathi, Pradeep Chandra and *Terry L. Cooper (2005) “Democratizing the administrative state: Connecting neighborhood councils and city agencies.” <i>Public Administration Review</i> 65(5):559-567. • *Cooper, Terry L., Thomas A. Bryer, and Jack W. Meek (2006) “Citizen-centered collaborative public management.” <i>Public Administration Review</i> 66(s1):76-88. • Jung, Yong-Duck, *Daniel Mazmanian & *Shui-Yan Tang (2009) “Collaborative governance in the United States and Korea: Cases in negotiated policymaking and service delivery.” <i>International Review of Public Administration</i> 13(s1):1-11. 		
<p>Week 02 Discussion 1</p>	<p>Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>Week 03: Effective Process</p>		
<p>Learning Activity</p>	<p>Due Date</p>	<p>Scale</p>
<p>Readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Susskind, Lawrence and Jennifer Thomas-Larmer (1999) “Conducting a Conflict Assessment.” Chapter 2 in <i>The Consensus Building Handbook</i> (Sage). http://web.mit.edu/publicdisputes/practice/cbh_ch2.html • Straus, David (2002) “Involve the Relevant Stakeholders.” Chapter 2 in <i>How to Make Collaboration Work: Powerful Ways to Build Consensus, Solve Problems, and Make Decisions</i> (Berrett Koehler). http://site.ebrary.com/lib/uscisd/detail.action?docID=10315440 • “Collaborative Policy” Center for Collaborative Policy http://www.csus.edu/ccp/policymaking/policies.html 	<p>~</p>	<p>~</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Five Stages of Collaborative Decisionmaking on Policy Issues” http://www.csus.edu/ccp/documents/publications/five_stages_diaghram.pdf “Conditions Favorable to Initiate a Collaborative Process” http://www.csus.edu/ccp/policymaking/initiate.html “Conditions Needed to Sustain a Collaborative Policy Process” http://www.csus.edu/ccp/policymaking/sustain.html <p>Recommended Readings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Varvarovszky, Z. and Brugha, R. (2000). “How to do (or not to do) a stakeholder analysis.” <i>Health Policy and Planning</i> 15(3):338-345. 		
Week 03 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 03 Assignment 1: Case Study Presentation (Group)	Day 7	100
Week 04: Principled Negotiation: Interests vs. Positions		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Instructional Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Video (18:45) William Ury (2010) "The walk from no to yes." Ted Talks. <p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In.</i> Chapters 1-8. <p>Recommended Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Duzert, Yann and *Frank Zerunyan (2015) <i>Newgotiation for Public Leaders: The Art of Negotiating for a Better Deal.</i> Newgotiation Publishing. Lewicki, Roy J., D. M. Saunders, D.M.; and B. Barry (2009) “Selecting a strategy” and “Resolving differences.” Readings 1.2 and 6.1 in <i>Negotiation: Readings, Exercises and Cases. 6th Edition.</i> McGraw-Hill. 	~	~
Week 04 Live Session	Day 2	~
Week 04 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 04 Discussion 2: Case Study Presentations	Day 7	20
Week 04 Assignment 1: CATME Peer Evaluations	Day 7	100

Week 05: Facilitation Skills for Intersectoral Leadership		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kaner, Sam (2014) "Introduction to the Role of Facilitator" and "Facilitative Listening Skills." Chapters 3 and 4 in <i>Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision-Making, 3rd Edition</i> (Community at Work, Jossey-Bass). • Straus, David (2002) "Designate a Process Facilitator" and "Facilitative Leadership." Chapters 5 and 7 in <i>How to Make Collaboration Work: Powerful Ways to Build Consensus, Solve Problems, and Make Decisions</i> (Berrett Koehler). http://site.ebrary.com/lib/uscisd/detail.action?docID=10315440 	~	~
Week 05 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 05 Assignment 1: Negotiation Roleplay #1	Day 7	20
Week 06: Building Consensus on Science & Policy		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Community-Based Collaboration</i>, Chapters 2-3. • Karl, Herman A., Lawrence E. Susskind, and Katherine H. Wallace (2007) "A Dialogue, Not a Diatribe: Effective Integration of Science and Policy through Joint Fact Finding." <i>Environment</i> 49(1): 20-34. • *Leach, William D., Christopher M. Weible, Scott R. Vince, Saba N. Siddiki, John *Calanni (2014) "Fostering learning through collaboration: Knowledge acquisition and belief change in marine aquaculture partnerships." <i>Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory</i> 24(3): 591–622. 	~	~
Week 06 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 06 Assignment 1: Topic Submission: Individual Paper	Day 7	20
Week 07: Effective Process Design - Pracademic Perspectives		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale

Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • *Community-Based Collaboration, Chapters 4-6. 	~	~
Week 07 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 07 Assignment 1: Negotiation Roleplay #1	Day 7	20
Week 08: Public Participation & Civic Engagement		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
Instructional Material: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Video (3:38) Richard Harwood: Harnessing Civic Engagement. • Video (2:30) Deliberative Polling • IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creighton, James L. (2005) "Defining What Participation Is (and Is Not)." Chapter 1 in <i>The Public Participation Handbook</i> (John Wiley & Sons). • Innes, Judith E. and David E. Booher (2004) "Reframing Public Participation: Strategies for the 21st Century." <i>Planning Theory & Practice</i> 5(4): 419–436. • "Planning Public Engagement: Key Questions for Local Officials" (2012) Institute for Local Government, Sacramento, CA. http://www.ca-ilg.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/key_questions_3.pdf • "A Local Official's Guide to Online Public Engagement" (2012) Institute for Local Government, Sacramento, CA. http://www.ca-ilg.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/a_local_officials_guide_cp_2-27.pdf • "Online Engagement Guide" (2014) Institute for Local Government, Sacramento, CA. http://www.ca-ilg.org/online-engagement-guide Recommended Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fung, Archon (2006) "Varieties of participation in complex governance." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 66(s1):66-75. • Carlson, Chris (2008). "Understanding the spectrum of collaborative governance processes" in <i>A Practical Guide to Collaborative Governance</i>. Policy Consensus Initiative. http://www.policyconsensus.org/publications/practicalguide/collaborative_spectrum.pdf 	~	~
Week 08 Live Session	Day 2	~

Week 08 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 09: Public/Private Partnerships & Contracting		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • *Zerunyan, Frank V. and Peter Pirnejad (4/2014). "From Contract Cities to Mass Collaborative Governance." <i>American City and County</i> • Milward & Provan, K.G. (2000). Governing the hollow state. <i>Journal of Public Administration Research and Practice</i> 10(2), 359-379. • Clayton, Tyrus Ross (2013). "Appendix: Use of Public Private Partnerships." In <i>Leading Collaborative Organizations</i>. iUniverse Press. <p>Recommended Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • *Zerunyan, Frank V. and Steven R. Meyers (2010) "The use of public private partnerships for special districts and all levels of government." <i>California Special District</i> 5(3):28,47-50. • Little, Richard G. (2010) "Beyond privatization: Rethinking private sector involvement in the provision of civil infrastructure." Chapter 3 in Ascher, W., Krupp, C. (Eds.) <i>Physical Infrastructure Development: Balancing the Growth, Equity, and Environmental Imperatives</i>. Palgrave • Pagdadis, Sotiris A. et al. (2008) "A road map to success for public private partnerships of public infrastructure initiatives." <i>The Journal of Private Equity</i> 11(2):8-18 	~	~
Week 09 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 09 Assignment 1: Topic Submission: Group Paper and Presentation	Day 7	20
Week 10: Participatory Budgeting		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Instructional Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Video (1:36) What is Participatory Budgeting, Councilmember? • Video (4:18) Real Money, Real Power: Participatory Budgeting • Video (4:14) Deliberative Polling®: It's Not A Come-On From A Cult. It's A New Kind Of Poll! 	~	~

<p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lerner, Josh and Secondo, Donata (2012) "By the People, For the People: Participatory Budgeting from the Bottom Up in North America." <i>Journal of Public Deliberation</i> 8(2), Article 2. http://www.publicdeliberation.net/jpd/vol8/iss2/art2 "Public Engagement in Budgeting" (2013) Institute for Local Government, Sacramento, CA. http://www.ca-ilg.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/community_budget_5.5.14.pdf "A Local Official's Guide to Public Engagement in Budgeting." (2010) Institute for Local Government, Sacramento, CA. http://www.ca-ilg.org/sites/main/files/file-attachments/gf103_peb.pdf <p>Recommended:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gordon, Victoria, Jeffery L. Osgood, Jr., and Daniel Boden (2017) <i>Participatory Budgeting in the United States: A Guide for Local Governments</i>. Routledge Press. Gilman, H. R. (2016). <i>Democracy reinvented: Participatory budgeting and civic innovation in America</i>. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press. Pape, Madeleine and Lerner, Josh (2016) "Budgeting for Equity: How Can Participatory Budgeting Advance Equity in the United States?" <i>Journal of Public Deliberation</i> 12(2). http://www.publicdeliberation.net/jpd/vol12/iss2/art9 Lerner, J. (2014). <i>Everyone counts: Could participatory budgeting change democracy?</i> Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press. 		
<p>Week 10 Discussion 1</p>	<p>Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7</p>	<p>20</p>
<p>Week 10 Assignment 1: Individual Paper</p>	<p>Day 7</p>	<p>100</p>
<p>Week 11: Collaborative Governance & Democracy</p>		
<p>Learning Activity</p>	<p>Due Date</p>	<p>Scale</p>
<p>Instructional Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Video (5:36) "Organizations and Democracy" Charles Leadbeater, 2008. <p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Leach, William D. (2006) "Collaborative Public Management and Democracy: Evidence from Western Watershed Partnerships." <i>Public Administration Review</i> 66(s1): 100-110. 	<p>~</p>	<p>~</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peterson, M. Nils, Markus J. Peterson, and Tarla Rai Peterson (2005) "Conservation and the Myth of Consensus." <i>Conservation Biology</i> 19(3): 576–578. *Leach, William D. (2006) "Theories about Consensus-Based Conservation." <i>Conservation Biology</i> 20(2): 573–575. Peterson, M. Nils, Markus J. Peterson, and Tarla Rai Peterson (2006) "Why Conservation Needs Dissent." <i>Conservation Biology</i> 20(2): 576–578. 		
Week 11 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 12: Cross-Cultural Collaboration		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
<p>Instructional Material:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Video (4:40) "Managing Conflict Across Cultures." Jeanne Brett, Dispute Resolution Research Center, Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University. Video (2:34) "Negotiating Across Cultures." Harvard Business Review, February 25, 2016. Video (2:17) "Getting to Yes Across Cultures." Harvard Business Review, November 25, 2015. <p>Reading:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adler, Peter S. and Juliana E. Birkhoff (2002) "Talking with Native Americans" in Building Trust: When Knowledge From "Here" Meets Knowledge From "Away" (Portland, OR: National Policy Consensus Center), pp. 14-15 only. Sherman, Marlon (2007) "The promise and the challenge of cooperative conservation." <i>Frontiers in Ecology</i> 5(2), pp. 98-99 only. Lewicki, Roy J., D. M. Saunders, and B. Barry (2009) "Negotiation across Cultures." Section 5 in <i>Negotiation: Readings, Exercises and Cases. 6th Edition.</i> McGraw-Hill, pp. 321-361. 	~	~
Week 12 Live Session	Day 2	~
Week 12 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 13: Collaborative Leadership		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale

Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One book on leadership (For details, see Week 13 Discussion 01) 	~	~
Week 13 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 14: Framing & Systems Thinking		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
Reading: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bolman, Lee G. and Terrence E. Deal (2008) "The Power of Reframing." Chapter 1 in <i>Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership</i> 	~	~
Week 14 Discussion 1	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 14 Assignment 1: Group Paper	Day 7	100
Week 14 Assignment 2: Group Presentation	Day 7	100
Week 15: Course Synthesis and Evaluation		
Learning Activity	Due Date	Scale
Reading: N/A	~	~
Week 15 Discussion 01	Main Post: Day 5 Replies: Day 7	20
Week 15 Discussion 02: Group Paper Presentations	Day 5	20
Week 15 Assignment 1: CATME Peer Evaluations	Day 7	20
Week 15 Checkpoint: Course Evaluation	See emailed instructions.	~