

PPD 555: Public Policy Formulation and Implementation

Spring Semester 2017

RGL219

Wednesday 2-5:20pm

Daniel Mazmanian

Professor of Public Policy

Email: mazmania@usc.edu

Office: Lewis Hall 201A

Office Hrs: Wednesday 5:30-6:00pm and by appointment

This course provides an introduction to the key stages through which public problems are recognized, channeled into the political process, and policies to address them formulated and implemented. Set against the background of the formulation stage, the course focuses mostly on the implementation stage as the lens through which to understand the possibilities and constraints on policy making. It provides critical reflection on the manner in which political practices, institutions, and stakeholders influence the framing of issues, the alternatives that enter debate, and the evolution of public policies over time, and their ultimate impacts on society. It is, in effect, about problem solving and critical thinking in pursuit of the public purpose. The course draws from the American political experience and provides an overview of the field of policy studies suited to the needs of masters level students and doctoral level students unacquainted with the field.

The format of the course is a mixture of lecture, discussion of assigned readings and topics, guest speakers, and student presentations. An important feature of the course is a group research report on how a particular public policy is actually being implemented. This project includes an in-class research design and methods of analysis presentation mid-way through the term and the final report at the end of the term. It requires both knowledge of scholarly literature on the topic and original field-based research and analysis.

Grading

Grading is based on the assigned individual papers, the team research project, and class attendance and participation (see chart of assignments and due dates).

Weekly Questions and Comments on the Reading for Class Discussion

Each student is to come to class having read all of the assigned reading and to submit two (2) questions or critical issues raised by the readings that can help focus the class discussion. Questions and issues are to be submitted in advance of the class, specifically, by **5pm each Tuesday** unless indicated on the syllabus (see assignments). Each week a selection of questions will be discussed in class.

Assignments and Due Dates

ASSIGNMENT	LENGTH	DUE DATE	% OF GRADE
Team project topic selection memo	½ page	Jan. 20 (Friday, wk 2)	na
Team project research design, in class pwpt presentation pwpt <i>and</i> completion of <i>human subjects training</i>	15 minutes plus Q & A	Mar. 1 & 8 (wk's 8 & 9)	10%
Literature (readings) synthesis, Wk's 1 - 4	3 - 4 pages double-spaced (approx. 900 - 1000 words)	Feb. 3 by 5pm (wk 4)	10%
Literature (readings) synthesis, Wk's 5 - 9	3 - 4 pages double-spaced (approx. 900 - 1000 words)	Mar. 8 by 5pm (wk 9)	15%
Literature (readings) synthesis, Wk's 11 - 14	3 - 4 pages double-spaced (approx. 900 - 1000 words)	Apr. 21 by 5pm (wk 14)	15%
Policy implementation Memo to the Mayor	3-4 pages, double-spaced (approx. 900-1000 words)	Mar. 20 by 5pm (wk 10)	15%
Team report presentation in class	25 minutes plus Q & A	Apr. 19 & 26 (wk's 14 & 15)	15%
Team pwpt submission	n/a	Apr. 28 by 5pm	5%
In-class participation and weekly questions & comments on reading	n/a	Throughout	Combined 10%
Final exam exercise (peer evaluation)	n/a	Scheduled Final Exam time (tba)	5%

Literature Synthesis Papers

Each student is required to write three (3) short literature papers synthesizing the approaches and leading issues identified in the readings for the weeks designated (see the above chart). The papers are to be typed, double-spaced, and from 3-4 pages (900 - 1000 words) excluding any appendices and references.

Guidelines: There are several ways the formulation and implementation of public policy is approached in the field and illustrated in the class through various issues and themes, from an historical development of the American political institutions, issues of values and ethics, organization capacity, stakeholder engagement, causal theory, mandate design, etc., all of which are addressed in the readings and lectures and you will want to touch on some subset of them in your synthesis papers. Also, you will want to demonstrate your grasp of the assigned readings and how they relate to your understanding of the formulation and implementation process. You can do so through a simple referencing of them where appropriate (e.g., "as Blanchard argues", or "according to May").

Memo to the Mayor

Guideline: As the policy advisor to the Mayor of a large US city, you have been asked to identify the best job creation program for the city and how it should be implemented. In addition to spelling out your policy proposal, the memo should draw on the assigned readings and class discussions to inform the mayor which factors are most important in the implementation process. What are the key dimensions of your implementation strategy? What cautions or qualifications do you include in the memo?

Team Implementation Research Project

Teams of 4 (on occasion 3 or 5) students each will be formed around policy areas of interest to students. Each team is responsible for three deliverables:

First, a mid-semester in-class pwpt presentation focused on a statement of the ‘problem’ being addressed and approach to research.

Required elements of the research questions and methodology pwpt:

- The “problem” being addressed
- The policy adopted to address the problem
- The theory of change underlying the policy
- The researchable questions the team wants to answer
- The methodology that will be employed to answer research questions
- Identification of responsibilities of the individual team members

Second, toward the end of the semester an in-class pwpt presentation on research findings, suggestions for improving the implementation process, and the team’s view of the ideal (best imaginable) way for society to address the problem.

Required elements of the end of semester presentation of findings pwpt:

- Brief restatement of the problem and policy
- Scholarly research on the policy
- Research questions and findings
- Strengths and limitations of the teams research and findings
- Assessment of the effectiveness of the policy’s implementation

- Recommendations for improving implementation

Third, submission of a FINAL version of the in-class presentation pwpt.

Required elements of the FINAL pwpt presentation:

- Revised and expanded in-class pwpt presentation
- References and any appendices

For elaboration on an implementation assessment, see the Appendix.

Human Subjects Training

Each student is required to complete the USC human subjects training short-course as a component of the team research project. This includes (a) reviewing “Making Sense of Human Subject’s Research”: <https://oprs.usc.edu/files/2013/04/Student-Handbook-4.1.13.pdf> , and (b) completing the human subject protection training at: www.citiprogram.org.

Submission of Written Assignments

The weekly questions and comments on readings, 3 literature syntheses papers, memo to the mayoyu, and team research are to be submitted via **turnitin**, in Blackboard. Late submissions are subject to penalty.

Required Reading

Weekly assignments from USC library e-resources (URL frequently provided) or available on Blackboard (BB) under “Course Assignments,” by the week of assignment.

Recommended for Those Unfamiliar with American Politics and Policy Analysis

- Donald C. Bauer and Carl E. Van Hort, POLITICS AND PUBLIC POLICY: STRATEGIC ACTORS AND POLICY DOMAINS, CQ Press (2015)
- Jodi Sandfort and Stephanie Moulton, EFFECTIVE IMPLEMENTATION IN PRACTICE, Jossey-Bass (2015)
- Paul Manna, COLLISION COURSE: FEDERAL EDUCATION POLICY MEETS STATE AND LOCAL REALITIES (2011)
- Eugene Bardach, A PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS: THE EIGHTFOLD PATH TO MORE EFFECTIVE DECISION MAKING, 4th Edition

Supplemental Reading List on Policy Implementation: See “PPD555 Supplemental Reading List” posted on BB under *Content*.

Academic Conduct

Plagiarism – presenting someone else’s ideas as your own, either verbatim or recast in your own words – is a serious academic offense with serious consequences. Please familiarize yourself with the discussion of plagiarism in *SCampus* in Section 11, *Behavior Violating University Standards* <https://scampus.usc.edu/1100-behavior-violating-university-standards-and-appropriate-sanctions>. Other forms of academic dishonesty are equally unacceptable. See additional information in *SCampus* and university policies on scientific misconduct, <http://policy.usc.edu/scientific-misconduct>.

Discrimination, sexual assault, and harassment are not tolerated by the university. You are encouraged to report any incidents to the *Office of Equity and Diversity* <http://equity.usc.edu> or to the *Department of Public Safety* <http://capsnet.usc.edu/department/department-public-safety/online-forms/contact-us>. This is important for the safety of the whole USC community. Another member of the university community – such as a friend, classmate, advisor, or faculty member – can help initiate the report, or can initiate the report on behalf of another person. *The Center for Women and Men* <http://www.usc.edu/student-affairs/cwm/> provides 24/7 confidential support, and the sexual assault resource center webpage <http://sarc.usc.edu> describes reporting options and other resources.

Support Systems

A number of USC's schools provide support for students who need help with scholarly writing. Check with your advisor or program staff to find out more. Students whose primary language is not English should check with the *American Language Institute* <http://dornsife.usc.edu/ali>, which sponsors courses and workshops specifically for http://sait.usc.edu/academicsupport/centerprograms/dsp/home_index.html provides certification for students with disabilities and helps arrange the relevant accommodations. If an officially declared emergency makes travel to campus infeasible, *USC Emergency Information* <http://emergency.usc.edu> will provide safety and other updates, including ways in which instruction will be continued by means of blackboard, teleconferencing, and other technology.

Statement for Students with Disabilities

Any student requesting academic accommodations based on a disability is required to register with Disability Services and Programs (DSP) each semester. A letter of verification for approved accommodations can be obtained from DSP. Please be sure the letter is delivered to me as early in the semester as possible. DSP is located in STU 301 and is open 8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. Website and contact information for DSP:

http://sait.usc.edu/academicsupport/centerprograms/dsp/home_index.html,
(213) 740-0776 (Phone), (213) 740-6948 (TDD only), (213) 740-8216 (FAX)
ability@usc.edu.

Emergency Preparedness/Course Continuity in a Crisis

In case of a declared emergency if travel to campus is not feasible, USC executive leadership will announce an electronic way for instructors to teach students in their residence halls or homes using a combination of Blackboard, teleconferencing, and other technologies.

Weekly Course Outline

Wk. 1 (Jan. 11): Overview, Themes, Introductions: Policy formulation and implementation in a complex, democratic society

Reading

- Martin Levin, "The Day After an AIDS Vaccine Is Discovered: Management Matters," JOURNAL OF POLICY ANALYSIS AND MANAGEMENT (Summer 1993), 438-455: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/i275376>
- Robert Durant, "Getting Dirty-Minded: Implementing Presidential Policy Agendas Administratively," PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW (July/August 2009), 569-585: <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/122498972/PDFSTART>
- James Barnes, "Implementing Presidential Policy Agendas Administratively: A View for the Inside," PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW (July/August 2009), 586-594: <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/122498991/PDFSTART>
- Beverly A Cigler, "The 'Big Questions' of Katrina and the 2005 Great Flood of New Orleans," PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW, Special Issue (December 2007), 64-76: <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118485138/PDFSTART>
- Elliott Abrams, "The Prince of the White House," FOREIGN POLICY, March/April 213, at: http://zb5lh7ed7a.search.serialssolutions.com/?sid=sersol%3ARefinerQuery&SS_issnh=0015-7228&issn=0015-7228&rft.aulast=Elliott+Abrams&url_ver=Z39.88-2004&l=ZB5LH7ED7A&SS_ReferentFormat=JournalFormat&rft.genre=article&rft_val_fmt=info%3Aofi%2Ffmt%3Akev%3Amtx%3Ajournal&rft.atitle=The+Prince+of+the+White+House&rft.title=Foreign+policy&citationsubmit=Look+Up¶mdict=en-US&SS_LibHash=ZB5LH7ED7A&rfr_id=info%3Aasid%2Fsersol%3ARefinerQuery&rft_issn=0015-7228

Wk. 2 (Jan. 18): Formulation and Implementation of Public Policy, To What End – Efficiency, Equity and Justice, Stakeholders Preferences, Statutory Mandates?

Reading

- William Blanchard, "Evaluating Social Equity: What Does Fairness Mean and Can We Measure It?" POLICY STUDIES JOURNAL (1986), 29-54: <http://pao.chadwyck.co.uk/PDF/1231456689917.pdf> and **BB**
- Frederic Reamer, "Principles of Ethics and the Justification of Policy," in William Dunn, editor, POLICY ANALYSIS (1986); **BB**
- Thomas Cook, "Evaluation: Whose Questions Should be Answered," in Ronald Gilbert, editor, MAKING AND MANAGING POLICY (1984); **BB**
- Steven Kelman, "Cost-Benefit Analysis: An Ethical Critique", in J.M. Gillroy & M. Wade, editors. *The Moral Dimensions of Public Policy Choice* (1996), **BB**

Activity: Research teams topic selection and team break-out

Wk. 3 (Jan. 25): Policy Formulation Process: The Enduring Effects of Purpose and Institutional Design

Reading

- Articles of Confederation and Perpetual Union:
http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/artconf.asp
- Constitution of the United States of America:
http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/usconst.asp
- Federalist Paper #10: http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/fed10.asp
- Federalist Paper #51: http://avalon.law.yale.edu/18th_century/fed51.asp
- Nikolai Wenzel, “Judicial Review and Constitutional Maintenance: John Marshall, Hans Kelsen, and the Popular Will” (2013);
http://journals.cambridge.org/download.php?file=%2FPSC%2FPSC46_03%2FS104909651300053Xa.pdf&code=d2ebd6c39b55e7a2b38b329bcd41b762

Activity: Team proposals for constitution design

Wk. 4 (Feb. 1): The Process of Policy Formulation and Realities of Policy Implementation in the US

Part A: The Theory Policy Formulation: Multiple Streams Approach

Reading

- Nicholaos Zahariadis, “Ambiguity and Multiple Steams,” Ch 2, Paul Sabatier and Christopher Weible, THEORIES OF THE POLICY PROCESS, 3rd edition (2014): BB
Sarah Pralle, Agenda-setting and climate change, ENVIRONMENTAL POLITICS: 18, #5(2009).
Chester E. Finn Jr. and Frederick M. Hess, “On leaving no child behind,” THE PUBLIC INTEREST (Fall 2004), 35-56:
<http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?index=7&did=698687961&SrchMode=3&sid=1&Fmt=6&VInst=PROD&VType=PQD&RQT=309&VName=PQD&TS=1231704072&clientId=5239&aid=1>
If not there above, at:
http://www.nationalaffairs.com/doclib/20080710_20041573onleavingnochildbehindchesterefinn.pdf
- Robert Cox and Daniel Beland, “Valence, Policy Ideas, and the Rise of Sustainability,” GOVERNANCE (2013), 307-328;
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gove.12003/pdf>

Activity

- *Select teams to present weeks 8 and 9*
- *Sign up for team meetings Wk 6 meetings, ½ hour intervals from 1-6pm*

Part B: Policy Formulation and Implementation in Practice

Guest Speaker: Ian Spatz, Senior Advisor Manatt Health, J.D. NYU School of Law, MPP, Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs

Topic: implementation of the *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010*

Reading

- Peter May, “Implementation Failures Revisited,” PUBLIC POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION (2014):

<http://ppa.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/12/09/0952076714561505.full.pdf+html>

- Richard Nathan, "Understanding Health Reform Implementation from the Ground Up," ROCKFELLER BRIEF (July 2016):
http://www.rockinst.org/ACA/pdf/2016-07-Health_Reform_Implementation.pdf

Reminder:

1st literature synthesis paper due via turnitin February 3 (Friday by 5pm)

Wk. 5 (Feb. 8): Policy Implementation

Part A: The Evolution of Public Policy Analysis and Implementation

Reading

- G. David Garson, "From Policy Science to Policy Analysis: A Quarter Century of Progress," in William Dunn, ed., POLICY ANALYSIS (1986); **BB**
- Dan Mazmanian & Paul Sabatier, IMPLEMENTATION AND PUBLIC POLICY, 2nd ed., Chapters 1-2 (1989); **BB**
- Denise Scheberle, "Policy Implementation and Working Relationships: Strategies and Stories," Ch.1, FEDERALISM AND ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY, **BB**

Part B: Ethical and Practical Dimensions of Field-Based Implementation Research

Reading

- Making Sense of Human Subject's Research:
<https://oprs.usc.edu/files/2013/04/Student-Handbook-4.1.13.pdf>

Activity: Teams identify (i) the target population of the policy being examined, (ii) behavioral changes desired, (iii) the implementing entity, and (vi) methods of compliance.

Wk. 6 (Feb. 15): Team Project Meetings

Individual team meetings for an update of team projects, including a *1-page written memo* on the topic, including the responsibilities assigned to each member of team

Wk. 7 (Feb. 22): Best Practices in Implementation Research

Part A: Guest Speaker: Julie Marsh, Associate Professor, Rossier School of Education

Reading

- Susan Bush, Julie Marsh, and Katharine Strunk, "Portfolio Reform in Los Angeles: District Implementation Successes and Challenges," Chapter 5, THINKING SYSTEMATICALLY: IMPROVING DISTRICTS UNDER PRESSURE; **BB**

Part B: Best Practices, continued

Reading

- Ann Chih Lin, REFORM IN THE MAKING: THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOCIAL POLICY IN PRISON, excerpts, pp. 3-32 and 160-169; **BB**
- Christopher Weible, "Caught in Maelstrom: Implementing California Marine Protected Areas," COASTAL MANAGEMENT (2008), 350-373; **BB**

- Gregg Garn, “Solving the Policy Implementation Problem: The Case of Arizona Charter Schools,” EDUCATION AND POLICY ANALYSIS ARCHIVES (August 1999): <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v7n26.html>
- Ron Haskins and Greg Margolis, SHOW ME THE EVIDENCE, Ch. 8, (2015); **BB**

Wk. 8 (Mar. 1): Judicial Implementation: The 3rd branch of government in the US

Part A: Guest Speaker: tba

Reading

- Lawrence Baum, “Comparing the Implementation of Legislative and Judicial Policies,” Ch. 2, Mazmanian & Sabatier, editors, EFFECTIVE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION (1981), **BB**
- Susan Popkin, *et. al.*, “Obstacles to Desegregation in Public Housing: Lessons Learned from Implementing Eight Consent Decrees,” JOURNAL OF POLICY ANALYSIS AND MANAGEMENT (Spring 2003), 179-199: <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?index=2&did=310004151&SrchMode=3&sid=3&Fmt=10&VInst=PROD&VType=PQD&RQT=309&VName=PQD&TS=1231453469&clientId=5239&aid=3>
- Charles Wise & Rosemary O’Leary, “Breaking up Is Hard to Do: The Dissolution of Judicial Supervision of Public Services,” PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW (March/April 2003), 177-191: <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118872900/PDFSTART>
- Christine Reed, “Institutional Reform Litigation in an Era of Governance,” ADMINISTRATIVE THEORY & PRACTICE, Vol. 31 (2009), 577-584: <http://www.metapress.com/content/3u83187392h1t211/fulltext.pdf>
- Mosi Secret, “Court Upends 9-Year Fight on Housing Mentally Ill,” New York Times, April 6, 2012: <http://nyti.ms/Hkg2ca>

Part B. 5 team presentations on research design (20 minutes)

Wk. 9 (Mar. 8): Judicial Implementation: *continued from Wk 8*

Part A: 4 -5 team presentations on research design (20 minutes)

Part B: judicial implementation cont.

Reminders:

- *2nd literature synthesis paper due Friday, March 8th*
- *Individual Memo to the Mayor due by 5pm, Monday, March 20th*

Wk 10 (Mar. 15) Spring Break – no class

Wk. 11 (Mar. 22): Policy Memo to the Mayor

Part A: Within team presentations and analytical themes

Part B: Team reporting out analytical themes to the class

Wk. 12 (Mar. 29): Public Organizations and their Alternatives in the Delivery of Public Services

Part A. Organizations in the Delivery of Public Services

Reading

- Evelyn Brodtkin, “Reflections on Street-Level Bureaucracy: Past, Present, and Future,” PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW, on-line, September 2012: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02657.x/pdf>
- Brown, Trevor, Matthew Potoski and David Van Slyke, “Managing Public Service Contracts: Aligning Values, Institutions, and Markets,” PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW, Vol 66, May/June (2006), 323-331: <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/journal/118561387/issue>
- M. Bryna Sanger, “Getting To the Roots of Change: Performance Management and Organizational Culture,” PUBLIC PERFORMANCE & MANAGEMENT REVIEW (June 2008), 621-653: http://firstsearch.oclc.org/WebZ/FTFETCH?sessionid=fsapp5-34468-ghtozi6x-2ovgt5:enttypagenum=5:0:rule=100:fetchtype=fulltext:dbname=ECO_FT:recno=1:resultset=4:ftformat=PDF:format=BI:isbillable=TRUE:numrecs=1:isdirectarticle=FALSE:entityemailfullrecno=1:entityemailfullresultset=4:entityemailftfrom=ECO_FT and **BB**
- Gregg Garn, “Solving the Policy Implementation Problem: The Case of Arizona Charter Schools,” EDUCATION AND POLICY ANALYSIS ARCHIVES (August 1999): <http://epaa.asu.edu/epaa/v7n26.html>

Part B – Implementation Assessment by the Government Accountability Office

Reading

- Government Accountability Office, *Designing Evaluations, 2012 Revision*. Available online at <http://www.gao.gov/assets/590/588146.pdf> (Note: The intellectual approach taken by GAO to program evaluation can be extended more generally to applied policy research of all kinds. Focus in particular on Chapter 2, “Defining an Evaluation’s Scope,” and Chapter 3, “The Process of Selecting an Evaluation Design,” which describes the design matrix tool.

Wk. 13 (Apr. 5): Citizen Power, Wealth, Race, Gender, Cultural Background

Part A: Citizen Participation, Empowerment and Stakeholders’ Role in Policy

Reading

- Dan Mazmanian & Jeannie Nienaber, “The Total Immersion Approach to Open Planning,” Ch. 6, CAN ORGANIZATIONS CHANGE? (1979); **BB**
- Analilia Garcia, Andrea Hricko, *et al*, “The (Trade, Health, Environment) Impact Project: A community-Based Participatory Research Environmental Justice Case Study,” ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE (2013); **BB**
- John Bryson, Barbara Crosby and melissa Stone, “Designing and Implementing Cross-Sector Collaborations,” PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW: 75, 5: https://www.hhh.umn.edu/sites/hhh.umn.edu/files/designing_and_implementing_cross-sector_collaborations_needed_and_challenging.pdf_0.pdf
- Spectrum of Processes for Collaboration and Consensus-Building in Public Decisions: <http://ncdd.org/rc/wp-content/uploads/2010/09/spectrum2008-CollabConsensusInPubDecisions.pdf>

Student activity: Teams map stakeholders *a la* the Bryson framework and report out

- John Bryson, Figures 4 & 10 from “What To Do When Stakeholders Matter,” PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REVIEW (2004), **BB**

Part B: Implementation as an Extension of Social Construction

Reading

- Ann Schneider, Helen Ingram, and Peter DeLeon, "Democratic Policy Design: Social Construction of Target Populations," Ch. 4, Paul Sabatier and Christopher Weible, eds, THEORIES OF THE POLICY PROCESS, 3rd Edition (2014), **BB**
- Max Rose and Frank Baumgartner, “Framing the Poor: Media Coverage and U.S. Poverty Policy, 1960-2008,” POLICY STUDIES JOURNAL (2013), 22-53:
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/psj.12001/pdf>

Discussion: personal experiences with “framing”

Wk. 14 (Apr. 12): Implementation and Policy Change Over Time

Part A: Implementation Over Time

Reading

- Mazmanian & Sabatier, Ch. 8, IMPLEMENTATION AND PUBLIC POLICY (1989); **BB**
- Frank Baumgartner, “Ideas and Policy Change,” GOVERNANCE (2013), 239-258; <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gove.12007/pdf>
- Daniel J. Fiorino, “Environmental Policy As Learning: A New View of an Old Landscape.” PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION REVIEW (2001):
<http://proquest.umi.com.libproxy.usc.edu/pqdweb?did=73711464&sid=10&Fmt=6&clientId=5239&RQT=309&VName=PQD>

Part B: Guest Speaker: tba

Reading

- tba

Wk. 15 (Apr. 19): Team Reports and Presentation

Part A. 4-5 Team reports (25 minutes)

Part B. Information and Monitoring Systems in the Digital Age

Reading

- Jane Fountain, “Enacting Technology,” Ch. 6, BUILDING THE VIRTUAL STATE (2001); **BB**
- Jane Fountain, Table 4-1, Comparison of Weberian and Virtual Bureaucracy; **BB**
- Paul O’Connell, “Using Performance Data for Accountability: The New York City Policy Department’s CompStat Model of Police Management,” Ch. 6, Mark Abramson & John Kamensky, editors, MANAGING FOR RESULTS 2002 (2001); **BB**
- Jim Newton, “How the LAPD has achieved better policing through data”, May 4, 2014; <http://www.latimes.com/opinion/op-ed/la-oe-newton-column-compstat-lapd-20140505-column.html>
- Stephen Goldsmith and Susan Crawford, “Rethinking Government,” Ch 7, THE RESPONSIVE CITY, 2015; **BB**

Reminder: 3rd literature synthesis paper due April 21

Wk. 16 (Apr. 26): Team Reports and Concluding Remarks

Part A. 4-5 Team reports (25 minutes)

Part B. Concluding Remarks

Reading

- Peter deLeon and Linda deLeon, “What Ever Happened to Policy Implementation? An Alternative Approach,” JOURNAL OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION RESEARCH AND THEORY (2002), 467-491: <http://jpart.oxfordjournals.org/content/12/4/467.full.pdf+html>
- Christopher M. Weible, Tanya Heikkila, Peter deLeon, and Paul A. Sabatier, “Understanding and influencing the policy process,” POLICY SCIENCES (2012), 45: 1-21: <http://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs11077-011-9143-5.pdf>
- Mazmanian & Sabatier, “Six Conditions of Effective Implementation” (Chapter 2, IMPLEMENTATION AND PUBLIC POLICY, 41-42; see reading for Wk 5

Reminders:

- *USC course evaluation*
- *Team project paper due via turnitin by 5pm April 28th*

Final Exam Exercise: Peer Evaluation, submit via turnitin (date tbd)

Appendix

Elements of an Ideal Policy Implementation Assessment

1. Description of the “situation” in society that has been elevated to a public policy problem.
2. Description of the policy (law/plan) adopted in response.
3. Description and discussion of the theory of change – explicit or implicit – underlying the policy.
4. Presentation of the results of a thorough search of the scholarly literature and what it reveals about the implementation of the policy.
5. Identification of questions about its implementation that are in need of answering?
6. In view of the questions in need of answering, identification of the most relevant researchable questions about the implementation process, and methodology for assessing them.
7. Description of the research conducted and to what extent it enabled one to adequately answer the questions and concerns identified in step 5.
8. What are the strengths and limitations of the findings and research methods utilized?
9. What is the summative judgement of the degree of effective policy implementation, based on what criteria?
10. How might the policy’s implementation be more effective?
11. References and appendices.