

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

EDUC 518 - The Application of Theories of Learning to Classroom Practice

June 2014

Instructor: Dr. Kidogo A. Kennedy

Phone: (323) 523-4922

Course Time/Location: 6:30 – 8:30 PM; ATT 606

Office Hours: Tuesday 8:30 PM – 9:00 PM

Email: kidogoke@usc.edu & kennedyka.kennedy@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Learning theory is one approach to understanding and explaining the psychological processes involved in learning. Learning theory explains what happens in the mind when an unfamiliar phenomenon, idea, or concept is encountered in a formal or informal situation. Learning theory explains the immediate individual response to the new phenomenon; the conditions necessary for attending to and making sense of the phenomenon; and the process for understanding and identifying the phenomenon for future reference.

The purpose of this course is to provide a sequence of readings and learning experiences that will enable consistent application of theories of learning in designing classroom learning experiences, developing a classroom learning community, and assessing progress towards the expected student learning outcomes. We will cover the primary theories and perspectives related to learning, including behavioral, developmental, cognitive, social cognitive, sociocultural, and constructivist learning theories. In addition, we examine how these different theories address student assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and classroom management.

Throughout the course, we will be looking for examples of theories in action. Often, when you ask a teacher “What learning theory do you use?” you may get a blank look, or even an “I don’t have any use for theory” response; yet, teachers apply theory all the time. When you observe someone facilitating learning, you are observing, through the pedagogical choices they make from moment to moment, the physical enactment of their assumptions about how their students learn. Good teachers are conscious of those assumptions and make those choices intentionally and purposefully, rather than haphazardly. Those assumptions, taken collectively, constitute their personal learning theory. In this course, we review the most common families of those theories and the implications for teaching, assessing, and motivating students.

The readings for this course have been chosen to provide both breadth and some depth to the course topics. The readings were selected based on clarity of presentation regarding the basic principles and assumptions, as well as their explicit connection to theory and practice. Because these readings are a place from which to begin the ongoing discussion of the application of theory to practice, a number of the readings for this course will be revisited in subsequent classes in the program.

Teaching is the process of facilitating learning. Learning is a complex psychological process that involves physical changes in the brain and psychological changes in the mind. The brain is the organ that makes thought and the senses possible through producing structural changes that accommodate new learning. The mind is that part of the individual that feels, perceives, and reasons. Consistently productive teaching for diverse students is based on a theoretical understanding of the learning process. Learning theory is one approach to understanding the psychological processes involved in learning. In this course, you will become familiar with the antecedents and basic tenets of the learning theories preferred by scholars and practitioners and that are employed in textbooks and other instructional materials for teaching specific subject matter.

The assignments and learning experiences for this course are intended to provide a developmental sequence grounded in a sociocultural pedagogy that will enable candidates to progress from academic knowledge of theoretical perspectives on learning, to recognition and application and, finally, to problem solving in the classroom.

This course addresses particular “problems of practice” by:

- Emphasizing the application of theory to practice, including how learning theory can be consistently applied in instructional planning, assessment, and the development of the classroom community

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- Providing the theoretical knowledge necessary to engage in meaningful application in pedagogy courses

COURSE OBJECTIVES

- 1) You will develop skills in recognizing, defining and applying key learning and motivation theories, concepts, processes, principles and procedures to diagnose causes of and plan instructional solutions to learning challenges for a variety of learners from different cultural backgrounds who are learning in diverse urban settings.
- 2) You will analyze teaching events in pre-arranged videos, explain their effectiveness and offer alternative approaches based on learning research and theory.
- 3) You will develop skills in writing learning objectives, creating and implementing instructional activities, and selecting appropriate assessments that align with the goals for a variety of learners from different cultural backgrounds.
- 4) You will contribute substantive original posts to forum discussions based on the readings for each unit and post substantive responses to your classmates' posts, demonstrating your understanding of the concepts presented in the readings and course content.
- 5) You will learn to apply your knowledge of Web 2.0 and /or multimedia tools in order to analyze a teaching event depicted in a pre-arranged video and explain the effectiveness and offer alternative approaches based on learning research and theory.

You will have the opportunity to practice group collaboration, speaking in front of others, active listening, and analyzing problems.

SUMMATIVE COURSE ASSESSMENT

The following two assignments are the culminating or summative assessments of the course through which you will demonstrate your competency in the aforementioned course objectives.

Orientation Teaching Video Re-creation Proposal

This assignment consists of two parts. In the first part, view your teaching video with your study group and discuss which of the learning theories you all think it best exemplifies. Locate examples to support your conclusion. Briefly describe your lesson on the Proposal Template (provided by your instructor). In the second part, imagine you were going to teach this lesson again, but this time applying strategies based on three different learning theories. To do this, first consult Anderson and Krathwohl and write 2-3 new learning objectives for your lesson. Next, identify the strategies you will use and the theory connection to each strategy. Remember, one of the three learning theories must be Socio-cultural Theory. Finally, you should explain on your Proposal Template how your re-designed lesson will be assessed. Your instructor will provide you with a more detailed description of this assignment, additional handouts such as the Re-creation Proposal Template and rubric for this assignment during class. This assignment is worth 50 points, for a total of 10% of your grade. See Unit 9 for a detailed description of this assignment.

Orientation Video Re-creation

For your final assignment in this course, you will be re-teaching the lesson you taught for your orientation teaching video. You will be using the methods you described in your Orientation Teaching Video Re-creation Proposal to re-teach your lesson and create a video presentation to show your results. Your instructor will provide you with a more detailed description and rubric for this assignment during class. This assignment is worth 200 points, for a total of 25% of your grade. See Unit 10 for a detailed description of this assignment.

CTC STANDARDS

Standard	Unit	I, R or M	Description	Assessment
Standard 3.1 Foundational	1-10	Introduced (Units 1-10)	Candidates read a variety of texts related to learning theory	Candidates post responses to unit questions wherein they

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Educational Ideas and Research		Reinforced (Units 10-11)	and observe pre-recorded videos of teaching events in a variety of contexts that demonstrate learning theories in action	specifically discuss their understanding of at least one theoretical tenet from that theory.
Standard 4.1 Relationships Between Theory and Practice	2, 6, 8	Introduced	Candidates observe pre-recorded videos of teaching events in a variety of contexts that demonstrate learning theories in action	Candidates write two analysis papers and create one Web 2.0 product that demonstrate their analysis of the teaching event and learning and motivation theories demonstrated therein
Standard 5.3 Professional Perspectives Toward Student Learning and the Teaching Profession	2-11	Introduced	Candidates read texts that explain a variety of learning theories	Candidates contribute to class discussion and respond to specific unit questions in the forum discussions
Standard 6.2 Pedagogy and Reflective Practice	2-11	Introduced	Candidates observe pre-recorded videos of teaching events in a variety of contexts that demonstrate learning theories in action	Candidates suggest alternative practices rooted in learning and motivation their in their analysis papers and Web 2.0 product
Standard 11.1 Using Technology in the Classroom	1-12	Introduced	Candidates access course content, participate in class discussions, and complete assignments all through technology-based tools.	Candidates demonstrate their ability to use technology in weekly activities and through the Web 2.0 product, and final video product
Standard 16.1 Learning, Applying, and Reflecting on the Teaching Performance Expectations	1-12	Introduced	Candidates are afforded multiple opportunities to learn, apply, and reflect upon TPEs embedded throughout the course (TEP 2, 3, 4, 5, 6A, 6B, 6C, 8, 9, 11)	Candidates complete readings, observe teaching events, and re-create a teaching event wherein they demonstrate their learning of the embedded TPEs.

TEACHER PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS (TPEs)

TPE	Unit	I or R	Description	Assessment
TPE 2: Monitoring Student Learning During Instruction	2-11	Introduced	Candidates analyze pre-recorded video examples of teachers monitoring student learning during instruction	Formative: Candidates are assessed on their analysis of pre-recorded video teaching using a grading rubric
TPE 3: Interpretation and Use of Assessments	6-7	Introduced	Candidates practice identifying appropriate assessments that align with learning objectives	Formative: Candidates assessed on their writing of learning objectives and assessments during class discussion (not graded)

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

				Summative: Candidates assessed on their implementation of a variety of assessments using a grading rubric
TPE 4: Making Content Accessible	4, 8, 9	Introduced	Candidates identify strategies on how to make content accessible to a variety of learners	Formative: Candidates assessed on their ability to identify and implement differentiation and scaffolding strategies using a grading rubric. Summative: Candidates assessed on their ability to make content accessible through using a grading rubric
TPE 5: Student Engagement	2-11	Introduced	Candidates identify how to foster student engagement through a variety of learning and motivation theories and practice how to clearly communicate instructional objectives to students	Formative: Candidates are assessed on their ability to identify and understand strategies that foster student engagement through class discussion and forum postings based on depth of understanding.
TPE 6A, B, C: Developmentally Appropriate Teaching Practices	3	Introduced	Candidates read and discuss developmental theory and how it influences the choice of learning goals and instructional strategies based on a variety of learners in K-12	Formative: Candidates are assessed on the explanation of how developmental theory informs learning goals and instructional strategies through class discussion and forum postings based on depth of understanding.
TPE 8: Learning about Students	3, 7, 9, 10	Introduced	Candidates observe pre-recorded videos of teachers using formal and informal methods to assess students' prior mastery content knowledge, and skills. Candidates apply these same skills in the analysis of their own teaching videos.	Formative: Candidates are assessed on their understanding of how to learn about students through class discussion and forum postings. Summative: Candidates are assessed on their application of these skills using a grading rubric.
TPE 9: Instructional Planning	6, 10, 11	Introduced (6), Reinforced (10, 11)	Candidates write alternative and write and implement original instructional plans.	Formative: Candidates are assessed on their understanding of instructional planning using a grading rubric. Summative: Candidates are assessed on their ability write and implement instructional plans using a grading rubric.
TPE 11: Social Environment	2-11	Introduced	Candidates identify a variety of strategies that promote student effort and engagement and create a positive climate for learning.	Formative: Candidates are assessed on their understanding of strategies that promote student effort, engagement, and how to create a positive climate using a grading rubric.

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

By addressing these Teacher Performance Expectations, this course assists you in preparing for the Teacher Performance Assessment (TPA) at the conclusion of this program. Completion of the TPA is required in order to be recommended for a credential from the University of Southern California.

SPECIAL EDUCATION CTC STANDARDS

Special Education Standard	Unit	I or R	Description	Assessment
5.3:	3, 7	Introduced	Candidate observes the implementation of evidenced-based assessment strategies	Formative: Candidate is assessed on the use of assessment types for diverse learners during class discussion.
5.4:	7	Introduced	Candidate learns about a variety of assessment types and identifies when to use these types	Formative: Candidate identifies appropriate assessment approaches for a variety of learner characteristics.
9.6.2:	6,7	Introduced	Candidate practices writing and aligning learning objectives, instructional activities, and assessments	Formative: Candidate completes these activities during class discussion Summative: Candidate completes this task and is assessed using a grading rubric.
9.6.5:	6, 7	Introduced	Candidate practices writing and aligning learning objectives, instructional activities, and assessments	Formative: Candidate completes these activities during class discussion Summative: Candidate completes this task and is assessed using a grading rubric.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND ASSIGNMENTS

Assignment due dates are posted on the 2SC website. Please be aware that late assignments are accepted only in the case of serious personal emergencies and only with the prior approval of your instructor.

Class Time

The class meets once a week for 3 hours and 10 minutes. Face-to-face discussions will constitute 2 hours and the remaining 1 hour and 10 minutes of class time will be comprised of but not limited to activities such as the posting of responses to forum postings, the reviewing of pre-recorded class and classmates' videos, and student-led discussions.

Discussions will occur at every Class Time meeting and you are expected to participate fully in them. You are also encouraged to ask questions and actively participate in both planned and impromptu class discussions so long as the discussion forwards the purpose of the class. Effort invested during Class Time will reduce the effort necessary outside class. Although technical and connectivity issues do occur, students should make every effort to engage in discussion through the 2SC site for video participation (not just via teleconference). Students who do not participate in the video discussion or do not participate in the full 120-minute Class Time may be given only partial credit for participation in that discussion session. Class time is worth a maximum of 2 points each week, for a total of 10% of your grade.

Out-of-Class Assignments

The out-of-class workload for this course is approximately 5 hours per week. Out-of-class assignments include:

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Forums

Participation in a weekly forum is required. Each participant is required to submit one original entry in response to a specified prompt for each unit. Responding to other student posts is encouraged, but not required. These forums are intended to be an opportunity to share resources and personal connections to the theories with your classmates prior to participating in the live class discussion. Please be aware that forums are completed on VoiceThread. I will send you an invitation to our class's VoiceThread. For each forum assignment, you should carefully read and follow the directions located in the Coursework section of the LMS. Week 1 is a practice. Weeks 2-10 are on specific theories. There are no forums for weeks 11 or 12. Forums for each week are worth a maximum of 2 points, due 24 hours prior to class, and account for a total of 10% of your grade.

Theory Application Products

During this course, you will be completing three theory application products that demonstrate your learning related to the theories. You will create products for three theories of your choice. Two of those products must be Analysis Papers (completed independently), and one must be a Web 2.0 Project (completed collaboratively). Descriptions of the various assignment options and grading rubrics will be given in class. Each product will be worth 100 points (each worth 15% of final grade), for a total of 45% of your grade. The following are descriptions of the Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 project (See each individual unit for a more detailed breakdown of this assignment):

Analysis Papers

You will view pre-arranged videotaped classroom-teaching segments, which depict teaching in a heterogeneous classroom setting with a diverse student population. The analysis will be written in a 3-5 page (not counting the title or references pages) expository paper. For this assignment, you will apply a theoretical perspective on learning covered in one of the units to your analysis of the videotaped segments. A detailed description of this assignment will be posted in the course toolbox and explained during class discussion. Each analysis paper is worth 100 points (each analysis is worth 15% of final grade).

Web 2.0 Project

In addition to the two Analysis Papers, you will complete one Web 2.0 Project. The scope of this assignment is the same as the analysis papers in that you will view a pre-arranged videotaped classroom-teaching segment that depict teaching in a heterogeneous classroom setting with a diverse student population. The difference is that you will use a Web 2.0 tool to demonstrate your competency in the analysis of a video. A detailed description of this assignment will be posted in the course toolbox and explained during class discussion. The Web 2.0 project is worth 100 points (15% of final grade).

Due Dates for Theory Application Products

TAP	Theory Choices	Week Paper is Due
TAP #1	Behaviorism or Developmental Theory	Behaviorism Week 4 or Developmentalism Week 5
TAP #2	Constructivism or Cognitive Theories	Constructivism Week 6 or Cognitive Week 8
TAP #3	Social Cognitive Theory	Social Cognitive Week 9

Please note: In the above chart, you must complete Tap #1 on either Behaviorism or Developmental Theory; you must complete TAP #2 on either Constructivism or Cognitive Theories; you must complete TAP #3 on Social Cognitive Theory.

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

The due date for Theory Application Products is always one week after your class discussion on that theory. For example, you will have a class discussion on Behaviorism Week 3. If you decide to complete TAP #1 on Behaviorism, it would be due the day of class Week 4 by 11:59 p.m. PST.

In this way, you will always have a full week to complete the TAP after you have had the opportunity to read the materials, watch the videos, and take advantage of the class discussion on that theory.

Please be aware that Cognitive Theories is a two-week unit, so if you choose this theory, it is due Week 8. Also, the Assessment, Motivation & Self Regulation, and Reflection units are not theories, and thus are not an available choice for a TAP. However, you will incorporate these concepts into your summative course assessments.

You may not complete a TAP for Sociocultural Theory; that theory content is incorporated into your summative course assessments.

Summative Course Assessment

The following two assignments are the culminating or summative assessments of the course through which you will demonstrate your competency in the aforementioned course objectives.

Orientation Teaching Video Recreation Proposal

This assignment consists of two parts.

1. Form a small group (3-4 people). Set up a time to meet on the platform. Prior to your meeting, watch each of your peers' orientation teaching videos as well as your own, and take notes on what theories you see in action and how their lesson could be improved. During the meeting, discuss each person's video and share your notes.
2. Using the feedback of your peers and your own observations, redesign your lesson using the provided Orientation Teaching Video Analysis Proposal template. Bring this completed template to class, saved as a PDF, for your week 10 class session.

Your instructor will provide you with a more detailed description and rubric for this assignment during class. This assignment is **due IN CLASS in Unit 10**, and is worth 50 points, for a total of 10% of your grade. See Unit 9 for a detailed description of this assignment.

Orientation Teaching Video Recreation

For your final assignment in this course, you will be re-teaching the lesson you taught for your orientation teaching video. You will be using the methods you described in your Orientation Teaching Video Recreation Proposal to re-teach your lesson and create a video presentation to show your results. Your instructor will provide you with a more detailed description and rubric for this assignment during class. This assignment is **due 48 hours prior to class in Unit 12**, and is worth 200 points, for a total of 25% of your grade. See Unit 10 for a detailed description of this assignment

GRADING

Assignments will be weighted as follows:

Class Time	10%
Forums	10%
Theory Application Products	45%
Orientation Teaching Video Re-creation Proposal	10%
Orientation Teaching Video Re-creation	25%

GRADE DISTRIBUTION TABLE

A 100-95% B+ 89-86% C+ 79-76 % D+ 69-66% F 59-0%

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

A- 94-90% B 85-83% C 75-73% D 65-63%
B- 82-80% C- 72-70% D- 62-60%

TEXTBOOKS AND OTHER MATERIALS

Texts for this course are available from the USC Bookstore. The texts for this course consist of the books below.

American Psychological Association. (2009). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of educational objectives: Abridged edition*. New York: Longman.

EDUC 518 Course Reader, available at the USC Bookstore or at <http://universitycustompublishing.com>

Ormrod, J. E. (2011). *Educational psychology: Developing learners* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
(Note: It is important that you purchase the 7th edition, or your page numbers will not match up to the assigned readings.)

DISTANCE LEARNING

This course is offered both on-line and on campus; the activities, expectations and requirements are identical between the two versions. The on-line course is conducted through a combination of real time and asynchronous modules, just as the on-campus version is conducted with some in-class and out-of-class sessions. About 70% of the course will occur asynchronously. All candidates will be required to complete assignments on-line, in the field and independently along with completing related reading assignments. The time needed to complete all assignments fulfills course unit time requirements.

By this point in the program, candidates' level of technical competence should include basic knowledge of the Internet. They should have an account on, at least, one site that allows people to interact with one another (e.g., Facebook, MySpace, Skype, etc.). Basic tasks will include posting attachments, opening and posting discussion forums and uploading assignments including video clips (the mechanics of this will be taught). As in past courses, candidates will need to be able to video record their interactions with candidates (which may be accomplished through the use of a portable micro video camera) and upload edited versions (time limited) of their work. In addition, to complete assignments and access course documents, candidates should have some familiarity with Microsoft Word, PowerPoint, and basic Internet surfing.

Candidates will have ongoing access to the instructor and fellow classmates throughout the course. Through the Course Wall, e-mails, and class discussions, the instructor will maintain ongoing communication with candidates. These tools also provide candidates with a variety of ways to contact the instructor, share their ideas, comments and questions through private and public means. The Course Wall provides a place for the instructor to share new information and new postings. Due dates will automatically appear both on a student's homepage and in their calendar.

E-mail and wall postings will be the primary forms of immediate communication with the instructor. E-mail will be checked on a daily basis during the weekdays and will be responded to within 48 hours. The course calendar provides candidates with assignment due dates and notification of scheduled office hours for all faculty members teaching this course. Candidates may attend office hours with any instructor; however, if a student has a specific question about assignments or coursework, it is preferable to attend office hours with your instructor of record.

All required materials will be prepared and posted prior to the start of the course, but an instructor may add additional optional material at any point. All links and attachments will be checked weekly for updates.

In the Event of Technical Breakdowns

University of Southern California

Rossier School of Education

Course Syllabus

Candidates may submit assignments to the instructor via e-mail by the posted due date ONLY if a technical problem is suspected. Remember to back up your work frequently, post papers on the LMS (Learning Management System) or in Blackboard once completed, load files onto a power drive, and keep a hard copy of papers/projects.

Standards of Appropriate Online Behavior:

The protocols defined by the USC Student Conduct Code must be upheld in all online classes. Candidates are not allowed to post inappropriate material, SPAM to the class, use offensive language or online flaming. For more information, please visit:

< <http://www.usc.edu/student-affairs/SJACS/> >

ACADEMIC ACCOMMODATIONS

The University of Southern California is committed to full compliance with the Rehabilitation Act (Section 504) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). As part of the implementation of this law, the university will continue to provide reasonable accommodation for academically qualified candidates with disabilities so that they can participate fully in the university's educational programs and activities. Although USC is not required by law to change the "fundamental nature or essential curricular components of its programs in order to accommodate the needs of disabled candidates," the university will provide reasonable academic accommodation. It is the specific responsibility of the university administration and all faculty serving in a teaching capacity to ensure the university's compliance with this policy.

Any student requesting academic accommodations based on a disability is required to register with Disability Services and Programs (DSP) each semester. A letter of verification for approved accommodations can be obtained from DSP. Please be sure the letter is delivered to me as early in the semester as possible. DSP is located in STU 301 and is open 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. The phone number for DSP is (213) 740-7766.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

The University's Student Conduct Code articulates violations that are most common and readily identifiable. Conduct violating university community standards that is not specifically mentioned still may be subject to disciplinary action. General principles of academic honesty include and incorporate the concept of respect for the intellectual property of others, the expectation that individual work will be submitted unless otherwise allowed by an instructor, and the obligations both to protect one's own academic work from misuse by others as well as to avoid using another's work as one's own. All candidates are expected to understand and abide by these principles.

Sanctions for violations of the university Student Conduct Code are assessed appropriately for the cited violation. Sanctions will be considered in light of candidates' entire conduct records at the university and will be designed to hold candidates accountable for their actions and the resulting or potential consequences of such actions, to promote the educational well-being of candidates and to protect the educational environment of the university and the safety of its community.

All academic integrity violations will result in an academic consequence. Failure to comply with the terms of any imposed sanctions may be considered an additional violation.

Scampus, the USC student guidebook contains the Student Conduct Code and information on Academic Integrity. It is the student's responsibility to be familiar with and abide by these guidelines, which are found at:

<http://web-app.usc.edu/scampus/>

A summary of behaviors violating University standards can be also found at:

<http://web-app.usc.edu/scampus/1100-behavior-violating-university-standards-and-appropriate-sanctions/>

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

INCOMPLETES

IN – incomplete (work not completed because of documented illness or some other emergency occurring after the **9th week** of the semester; arrangements for the IN and its removal should be initiated by the student and agreed to by the instructor prior to the final exam); IX – lapsed incomplete.

Conditions for Removing a Grade of Incomplete. If an IN is assigned as the student's grade, the instructor will fill out the Incomplete (IN) Completion form which will specify to the student and to the department the work remaining to be done, the procedures for its completion, the grade in the course to date and the weight to be assigned to the work remaining to be done when computing the final grade. A student may remove the IN by completing only the portion of required work not finished as a result of documented illness or emergency occurring after the twelfth week of the semester. Previously graded work may not be repeated for credit. It is not possible to remove an IN by re-registering for the course, even within the designated time.

Time Limit for Removal of an Incomplete. One calendar year is allowed to remove an IN. Individual academic units may have more stringent policies regarding these time limits. If the IN is not removed within the designated time, the course is considered "lapsed," the grade is changed to an "IX" and it will be calculated into the grade point average as 0 points. Courses offered on a Credit/No Credit basis or taken on a Pass/No Pass basis for which a mark of Incomplete is assigned will be lapsed with a mark of NC or NP and will not be calculated into the grade point average.

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

COURSE OVERVIEW

Units	Assignments	Due Date	Points
1: Introduction to Learning Theories	Read Anderson & Krathwohl, Ch 1 and 2	By class time	n/a
	Record Orientation Teaching Video (if you have not already done so)	By class time	
	Forum post (practice)	24 hours prior to class	1
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch videos: • Course Overview • Why is this Course in the MAT? • APA Supplemental Video(s) • Syllabus Intro Videos (posted on course wall)	By class time	n/a
2: Learning Objectives and Assessment	Read • Ormrod Ch 14 • Anderson & Krathwohl, Ch 3 and Ch 6	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch Videos: • Group Test	By class time	n/a
	Read Ormrod Ch 9	By class time	n/a
3: Behavioral Theories	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch videos: • E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Morning Routine) • E.L. Haynes (Kindergarten, DC) • E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Mr. Kipp, DC) • APA Supplemental Video(s)	By class time	n/a
	TAP 1 Option 1 - Behaviorism	Day of class Unit 4	100
	Read • Ormrod pages 25-36 (Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development) • Ormrod pages 79-87 (Moral and Prosocial Development)	By class time	n/a
4: Developmental Theories	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch videos: • Bank Street (Work Center Time) • Bank Street (Word Wall) • Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets)	By class time	n/a
	TAP 1 Option 2 - Developmentalism	Day of class Unit 5	100
	Read • Ormrod Ch 6 • Anderson & Krathwohl Ch 4 • Anthony, G. (1996).	By class time	n/a
5: Constructivism	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Review videos: • Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets) • Bank Street (Work Center Time) • Bank Street (Word Wall)	By class time	n/a

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

	TAP 2 Option 1 - Constructivism	Day of class Unit 6	100
--	---------------------------------	---------------------	-----

Units	Assignments	Due Date	Points
6: Cognitive Theories I	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ormrod Ch. 6 (review) • Anderson & Krathwohl Ch 5 	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
7: Cognitive Theories II	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ormrod Ch 7 	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch video: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Talking to the Text 	By class time	n/a
	TAP 2 Option 2 – Cognitive Theories	Day of class Unit 8	100
8: Social Cognitive Theory	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ormrod Ch 10 	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch video: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4th Grade, Canoga Park 	By class time	n/a
	TAP 3 – Social Cognitive Theory	Day of class Unit 9	100
9: Sociocultural Theory I	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ormrod p 36-51 (Vygotsky's Theory of Cognitive Development) • Barton, A. C. (2003) • John-Steiner, V., & Mahn, H. (1996). 	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Watch videos: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets) • Annenberg Media (Conjectures Through Graphing) 	By class time	n/a
	Meet with a small group to work on your Orientation Teaching Video Recreation Proposal	n/a	n/abook
10: Sociocultural Theory II	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tharp, R.G., & Gallimore, R. (1988). (pp 27-43). • Tharp, R.G., & Gallimore, R. (1988). (pp 44-70). • Bondy, E., Ross, D. D., Gallingane, C., & Hambacher, E. (2007). 	By class time	n/a
	Forum post	24 hours prior to class	2
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Review videos: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets) • Annenberg Media (Conjectures Through Graphing) 	By class time	n/a
	Orientation Teaching Video Recreation Proposal – Bring completed template to class saved as a PDF.	Due IN CLASS Unit 10	50

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Units	Assignments	Due Date	Points
11: Motivation/ Self Regulation	Read <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ormrod Ch 11 • Rueda, R. & Dembo, M. (1995) • Dembo, M. & Eaton, M.J. (2000) • Wertsch, J.V. (2008) 	By class time	n/a
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Review videos: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Morning Routine) • Bank Street (Work Center Time) • Annenberg Media (Conjectures through Graphing) • Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets) 	By class time	n/a
	Work on your Orientation Teaching Video Recreation this week	n/a	
12: Reflection	Review 516 readings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rodgers, C. (2002) • Yost, D.S., Sentner, S.M., & Forlenza-Bailey, A. (2000) • Paley, V.G. (2007) 	By class time	n/a
	Review unit discussion questions and objectives	By class time	n/a
	Orientation Teaching Video Recreation	48 hours prior to Unit 12 class	200
	Review 2 classmates' Orientation Teaching Video Recreations	By class time	n/a

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 1: Introduction to Learning Theory

Introduction

The purpose of this unit is to define what constitutes “learning,” introduce the construct of “theory,” what is meant by “learning theory,” and the applications and limitations of learning theory in instruction. We will also introduce and discuss the recurring course concepts of assessment, motivation, and self-regulation.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe, in general terms, the following key terms that will be used throughout the semester: learning, motivation, self-regulation, assessment, environment, assumption, framework, practice, alternative practice, pedagogy
- Describe the characteristics, applications, and limitations of learning theories.
- Identify the reliability of a variety of sources.
- Explain the basic elements of academic writing.
- Explain the basic components of the Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 1:

- Forum post (practice)
- Watch videos:
 - Course Overview
 - Why is this Course in the MAT?
 - APA Supplemental Video(s)
 - Syllabus Intro Videos (on course wall)
 - Overview of Educational Terminology
 - Dissecting and Assessing Learning Objectives
 - Assessment Terminology
 - Lesson Plan Flow
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Record your Orientation Teaching Video if you have not done so already

Orientation Video Directions

- During your Orientation, you should have recorded yourself teaching something to someone. Please read the following directions to make sure you have done this correctly.
- The purpose of this assignment is to capture, on video, an example of you teaching someone how to do something. Because this is a learning theories course, we want to see what your tendencies are in terms of teaching before you learn about a variety of learning theories and teaching strategies.
- Topics:
 - It can be anything. In fact, it shouldn’t necessarily be something from your subject area for single-subject candidates or a core subject if you are a multiple-subject student. However, if you are a capstone student, you may video record yourself teaching your students (NOTE: you will have to get release forms signed by every student. Your instructor will give you further directions during your first class discussion).
 - Some past lessons have included:
 - How to do something (tie a necktie, do laundry, groom a horse)
 - How to perform a sports skill (heading a soccer ball, shooting a free throw, etc.)
 - How to make a food/beverage item (French toast, cappuccino, sandwich, etc.)
 - How to set up something (a hammock, tent, iPhone, etc.)

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- You will use this for Assignments #4 and #5. What makes these lessons effective is if you make your video BEFORE learning about the theories. If you have any questions about topic or how you should approach the assignment, please ask during class or email your instructor.
- Directions:
 - Pick your topic (remember, something that can be taught in 5-7 minutes)
 - Select your student(s) and plan on an hour to do this
 - Prepare your area for the lesson—have all the materials ready so that when you start recording you won't have to stop to find something
 - Use a tri-pod. A handheld video is jumpy, distracting, and lowers the quality. If you don't have a tri-pod, set it on some books or a table.
 - Be sure the camera is close enough to you to capture the audio. This means you should do a test of 10-15 seconds to make sure you and your student are speaking loudly enough and that the camera's microphone picks up your audio.
 - Frame the shot so the audience can see everything. This means, no extreme close-ups that cut you or your student out of frame. It also means not having the shot so wide that you and your student are hard to see. As a rule of thumb, waist up with a bit of space between the top of your head and the frame is good framing. Of course, if your lessons demands a full body shot (i.e. teaching someone how to dive off a diving board) then adjust as needed.
 - Once you have recorded, double-check that you got everything you need and download the footage to your hard drive.
 - You do not need to upload this footage anywhere yet, but you will need it, so keep it somewhere safe, and make a backup copy!

Readings

- Anderson & Krathwohl, Chapters 1 and 2

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- From your experience, describe an example of great teaching/learning.
- From your experience, describe an example of horrible teaching/learning.
- What made the good teaching good and what made the bad teaching bad?
- What is learning theory?
- What is some of the important terminology used in the field of educational psychology?
- How can you select reliable sources to support your work?
- What are the basic expectations of graduate level academic writing?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 2: Learning Objectives and Assessment

Introduction

This unit will focus on the creation of learning objectives based on learning theories. In order to create high quality learning experiences, teacher candidates, as well as those currently teaching, must be able to design learning objectives that meet state standards, but also are supported by the various learning theories discussed so far in the course. This unit also focuses on effective assessment practices. In order to create high quality learning experiences, teacher candidates, as well as those currently teaching, must be able to design assessments that meet state standards, but also are supported by the various learning theories discussed so far in the course. Additionally, this unit will examine the various types and uses of assessment, and will focus on aligning learning objectives, instruction, and assessment.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit candidates will be able to:

- Describe the components of a learning objective
- Describe the various types of assessment, particularly formative, summative, criterion-referenced, norm-referenced, formal, informal, and dynamic assessment, through the lens of learning theory.
- Explain when and how to use the various types of assessment.
- Explain why and how learning objectives, instruction, and assessment should be aligned.

Activities

- Complete the following before Class Time this week:
- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch Video:
 - Group Test

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), Chapter 14
- Anderson & Krathwohl, 3 and 6

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- How would you determine what type of assessment is appropriate for learning a given skill or concept?
- How can we differentiate between formative and summative assessment, criterion-referenced and norm-referenced assessment, formal and informal assessment, and dynamic and traditional assessment?
- How and why should we ensure that learning objectives, instruction, and assessment are aligned?
- Throughout the course we will return to the following question: How is assessment viewed through the lens of each learning theory we have discussed?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 3: Behaviorist Theories of Learning

Introduction

The purpose of this unit is to examine behavioral theories of learning – theories that dominated thinking about learning and human behavior in the United States for almost five decades.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Identify the main assumptions and principles of behavioral theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Identify the applications and limitations of behavioral approaches.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using behavioral theory.

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 3:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch videos:
 - E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Morning Routine)
 - E.L. Haynes (Kindergarten, DC)
 - E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Mr. Kipp, DC)
 - APA Supplemental Video(s)
- TAP 1 Option 1: Behaviorism - Due day of class Unit 4.
 - **To meet the requirements of TAP #1, candidates must choose to complete a TAP on either Behaviorism or Developmentalism.** The purpose of this assignment is to help you analyze a teaching video sample and synthesize the information about the current theory to which you have been exposed.
 - **Directions**
 - Choose one video observation from any unit of the course (for example, “The 5th Grade, DC,” or “Investigating Crickets”).
 - Based on the Theory Application Product Rubric provided to you by your instructor, create EITHER an Analysis Paper OR a Web 2.0 Project that analyzes this video using the theory and readings for this unit.
 - If you choose to create an Analysis Paper, you must work independently, and you should use the Analysis Paper Template and Analysis Paper Scaffolding documents to help you.
 - If you choose to create a Web 2.0 project, you may work either independently or collaboratively with one or more classmates, and you should use the Web 2.0 Project Scaffolding document to help you.
 - BOTH Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 Project must include the same information (only the format in which the information is presented is different):
 - Theory Presentation
 - Present the most important parts of the theory, including how the theory defines learning, the main concepts of the theory, and the strengths and weaknesses of the theory. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Theory Application
 - Explain two ways in which the theory is visible in the video. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Lesson Redesign
 - Identify the learning objective that is being taught. Explain how the lesson could be retaught based on this week’s theory; it must be based

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

on the same learning objective as the original lesson. Describe two to three strategies that could be used (that were not already used in the original lesson) to teach the learning objective, as well as how the effectiveness of these strategies would be assessed. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.

- Presentation skills
 - For ANALYSIS PAPERS, your paper should show mastery of academic writing and APA style that is free from any significant spelling, grammatical, organizational, or formatting errors.
 - For WEB 2.0 PROJECTS, your final product is cleanly put together, clear in presentation of subject matter, and user friendly. Use your Web 2.0 tool in a way that enhances the user's understanding of the subject matter. Give credit to the original sources, but APA style is not necessary.
- Candidates must complete three Theory Application Products during the course. Two submissions must be papers. One must be a Web 2.0 Project. Be sure to review your assignments in this course and other courses to plan your coursework schedule.
- **Grading:** Each Theory Application Product Assignment is worth 100 points, and 15% of your final grade.
- **Date Due:** Day of class next week, by the end of the day.

Readings

Ormrod (2011)

- Chapter 9

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- Review of the main assumptions and principles of behavioral theories of learning, including its application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of behaviorism, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- Based on the readings in this unit, as well as your prior knowledge and personal/professional experiences, describe how behavioral techniques could be useful in creating a learning environment.
- How can you use behaviorism to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 4: Developmental Theories of Learning

Introduction

This unit focuses on the developmental theories of learning. Developmental theories focus on how humans develop physically, cognitively, morally, and socially. This unit in particular will focus specifically on how humans develop cognitively, according to Piaget's theory of cognitive development, and on how humans develop morally, according to Kohlberg's theory of moral and prosocial development.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of developmental theories of learning, including their application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Summarize the characteristics of cognitive and moral/prosocial development that influence learners at various stages of life.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using developmental theories.

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 4:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch videos:
 - Bank Street (Work Center Time)
 - Bank Street (Word Wall)
 - Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets)
- TAP 1 Option 2: Developmentalism – Due day of class Unit 5
 - **To meet the requirements of TAP #1, candidates must choose to complete a TAP on either Behaviorism or Developmentalism.** The purpose of this assignment is to help you analyze a teaching video sample and synthesize the information about the current theory to which you have been exposed.
 - **Directions**
 - Choose one video observation from any unit of the course (for example, “The 5th Grade, DC,” or “Investigating Crickets”).
 - Based on the Theory Application Product Rubric provided to you by your instructor, create EITHER an Analysis Paper OR a Web 2.0 Project that analyzes this video using the theory and readings for this unit.
 - If you choose to create an Analysis Paper, you must work independently, and you should use the Analysis Paper Template and Analysis Paper Scaffolding documents to help you.
 - If you choose to create a Web 2.0 project, you may work either independently or collaboratively with one or more classmates, and you should use the Web 2.0 Project Scaffolding document to help you.
 - BOTH Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 Project must include the same information (only the format in which the information is presented is different):
 - Theory Presentation
 - Present the most important parts of the theory, including how the theory defines learning, the main concepts of the theory, and the strengths and weaknesses of the theory. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Theory Application
 - Explain two ways in which the theory is visible in the video. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Lesson Redesign

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- Identify the learning objective that is being taught. Explain how the lesson could be retaught based on this week's theory; it must be based on the same learning objective as the original lesson. Describe two to three strategies that could be used (that were not already used in the original lesson) to teach the learning objective, as well as how the effectiveness of these strategies would be assessed. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
- Presentation skills
 - For ANALYSIS PAPERS, your paper should show mastery of academic writing and APA style that is free from any significant spelling, grammatical, organizational, or formatting errors.
 - For WEB 2.0 PROJECTS, your final product is cleanly put together, clear in presentation of subject matter, and user friendly. Use your Web 2.0 tool in a way that enhances the user's understanding of the subject matter. Give credit to the original sources, but APA style is not necessary.
- Candidates must complete three Theory Application Products during the course. Two submissions must be papers. One must be a Web 2.0 Project. Be sure to review your assignments in this course and other courses to plan your coursework schedule.
- **Grading:** Each Theory Application Product Assignment is worth 100 points, and 15% of your final grade.
- **Date Due:** Day of class next week, by the end of the day.

Readings

Ormrod (2011)

- Pages 25-36 (Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development)
- Pages 79-87 (Moral and Prosocial Development)

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- Review of the main assumptions and principles of developmental theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of developmental theories of learning, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- Based on the readings in this unit, as well as your prior knowledge and personal/professional experiences, describe how developmental theories could be useful in creating a learning environment.
- How are developmental theories of learning different from and similar to behavioral theories of learning?
- How can you use developmental theories to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 5: Constructivism

Introduction

This unit focuses on the perspective of constructivism. Constructivist approaches, which initially grew from developmental theories, are primarily influenced by cognitive (Cognitive Constructivism) and social theories (Social Constructivism). Cognitive Constructivism focuses on how learners construct knowledge, while Social Constructivism how individuals construct knowledge from cultural and social contexts.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of the constructivist perspective of learning, including their Application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Identify the applications and limitations of constructivist approaches.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using constructivism.

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 5:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch videos:
 - Bank Street (Work Center Time)
 - Bank Street (Word Wall)
 - Annenberg Media (Investigating Crickets)
- TAP 2 Option 1: Constructivism - Due day of class Unit 6.
 - **To meet the requirements of TAP #2, candidates must choose to complete a TAP on either Constructivism or Cognitive Theories (for Cognitive Theories you may choose to focus on either Unit 6 or Unit 7).** The purpose of this assignment is to help you analyze a teaching video sample and synthesize the information about the current theory to which you have been exposed.
 - **Directions**
 - Choose one video observation from any unit of the course (for example, “The 5th Grade, DC,” or “Investigating Crickets”).
 - Based on the Theory Application Product Rubric provided to you by your instructor, create EITHER an Analysis Paper OR a Web 2.0 Project that analyzes this video using the theory and readings for this unit.
 - If you choose to create an Analysis Paper, you must work independently, and you should use the Analysis Paper Template and Analysis Paper Scaffolding documents to help you.
 - If you choose to create a Web 2.0 project, you may work either independently or collaboratively with one or more classmates, and you should use the Web 2.0 Project Scaffolding document to help you.
 - BOTH Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 Project must include the same information (only the format in which the information is presented is different):
 - Theory Presentation
 - Present the most important parts of the theory, including how the theory defines learning, the main concepts of the theory, and the strengths and weaknesses of the theory. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Theory Application
 - Explain two ways in which the theory is visible in the video. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Lesson Redesign
 - Identify the learning objective that is being taught. Explain how the lesson could be retaught based on this week’s theory; it must be based

University of Southern California

Rossier School of Education

Course Syllabus

on the same learning objective as the original lesson. Describe two to three strategies that could be used (that were not already used in the original lesson) to teach the learning objective, as well as how the effectiveness of these strategies would be assessed. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.

- Presentation skills
 - For ANALYSIS PAPERS, your paper should show mastery of academic writing and APA style that is free from any significant spelling, grammatical, organizational, or formatting errors.
 - For WEB 2.0 PROJECTS, your final product is cleanly put together, clear in presentation of subject matter, and user friendly. Use your Web 2.0 tool in a way that enhances the user's understanding of the subject matter. Give credit to the original sources, but APA style is not necessary.
- Candidates must complete three Theory Application Products during the course. Two submissions must be papers. One must be a Web 2.0 Project. Be sure to review your assignments in this course and other courses to plan your coursework schedule.
- **Grading:** Each Theory Application Product Assignment is worth 100 points, and 15% of your final grade.
- **Date Due:** Day of class next week, by the end of the day.

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), Chapter 6
- Anderson & Krathwohl (2001), Chapter 4
- Anthony, G. (1996). Active learning in a constructivist framework. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 31(4), 349-369.

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of the constructivist perspective, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- Based on the readings in this unit, as well as your prior knowledge and personal/professional experiences, describe how constructivism could be useful in creating a learning environment.
- How is the constructivist perspective different from and similar to behavioral and developmental theories of learning?
- How can you use constructivism to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 6: Cognitive Theories of Learning I

Introduction

This unit is focused on cognitive theories of learning, a dominant theoretical perspective in the last 50 years. Cognitive approaches to learning include a number of different theories that explain learning processes that are situated within the individual and arose as a response to behaviorism.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of cognitive theories of learning, including their application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Identify the applications and limitations of cognitive approaches.
- Explain how Information Processing Theories explain learning.

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 6:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), Chapter 6 (review)
- Anderson & Krathwohl (2001), Chapter 5

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of cognitive theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of cognitive theory, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- Based on the readings in this unit, as well as your prior knowledge and personal/professional experiences, describe how Information Processing Theory could be useful in creating a learning environment.
- How can you use cognitive theories to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?
- How are cognitive theories of learning different from and similar to behavioral, developmental, and constructivist theories of learning?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 7: Cognitive Theories of Learning II

Introduction

This unit continues the focus on cognitive theories of learning, a dominant theoretical perspective in the last 50 years. Cognitive approaches to learning include a number of different theories that explain learning processes that are situated within the individual and arose as a response to behaviorism. This unit will also focus on the creation of learning objectives based on learning theories. In order to create high quality learning experiences, teacher candidates, as well as those currently teaching, must be able to design learning objectives that meet state standards, but also are supported by the various learning theories discussed so far in the course.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of cognitive theories of learning, including their application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Identify the applications and limitations of cognitive approaches.
- Describe the cognitive process and knowledge dimensions of the revised Bloom's taxonomy.
- Explain how metacognitive strategies assist in the learning process.
- Identify the applications and limitations of cognitive approaches.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using cognitive theories.
- Construct an effective learning objective that is supported by both learning theories and state standards.
- Explain why learning objectives are vital to effective teaching.

Activities

- Complete the following for Unit 7:
 - Required reading assignments
 - Forum post
 - Watch Video:
 - Talking to the Text
 - Review unit discussion questions and objectives
 - TAP 2 Option 2: Cognitive Theories – Due day of class Unit 8
 - **To meet the requirements of TAP #1, candidates must choose to complete a TAP on either Constructivism or Cognitive Theories (for Cognitive Theories you may choose to focus on either Unit 6 or Unit 7).** The purpose of this assignment is to help you analyze a teaching video sample and synthesize the information about the current theory to which you have been exposed.
 - **Directions**
 - Choose one video observation from any unit of the course (for example, “The 5th Grade, DC,” or “Investigating Crickets”).
 - Based on the Theory Application Product Rubric provided to you by your instructor, create EITHER an Analysis Paper OR a Web 2.0 Project that analyzes this video using the theory and readings for this unit.
 - If you choose to create an Analysis Paper, you must work independently, and you should use the Analysis Paper Template and Analysis Paper Scaffolding documents to help you.
 - If you choose to create a Web 2.0 project, you may work either independently or collaboratively with one or more classmates, and you should use the Web 2.0 Project Scaffolding document to help you.
 - BOTH Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 Project must include the same information (only the format in which the information is presented is different):
 - Theory Presentation
 - Present the most important parts of the theory, including how the theory defines learning, the main concepts of the theory, and the strengths and weaknesses of the theory. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Theory Application

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- Explain two ways in which the theory is visible in the video. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
- Lesson Redesign
 - Identify the learning objective that is being taught. Explain how the lesson could be retaught based on this week's theory; it must be based on the same learning objective as the original lesson. Describe two to three strategies that could be used (that were not already used in the original lesson) to teach the learning objective, as well as how the effectiveness of these strategies would be assessed. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
- Presentation skills
 - For ANALYSIS PAPERS, your paper should show mastery of academic writing and APA style that is free from any significant spelling, grammatical, organizational, or formatting errors.
 - For WEB 2.0 PROJECTS, your final product is cleanly put together, clear in presentation of subject matter, and user friendly. Use your Web 2.0 tool in a way that enhances the user's understanding of the subject matter. Give credit to the original sources, but APA style is not necessary.
- Candidates must complete three Theory Application Products during the course. Two submissions must be papers. One must be a Web 2.0 Project. Be sure to review your assignments in this course and other courses to plan your coursework schedule.
- **Grading:** Each Theory Application Product Assignment is worth 100 points, and 15% of your final grade.
- **Date Due:** Day of class next week, by the end of the day.

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), Chapter 7

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- Review of the main assumptions and principles of cognitive theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of cognitive theory, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- How can you use cognitive theories to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?
- How are cognitive theories of learning different from and similar to behavioral, developmental, and constructivist theories of learning?
- How are learning objectives useful in planning and facilitating effective learning experiences?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 8: Social Cognitive Theory

Introduction

Social Learning Theories encompass a broad range of theories that focus on learning as situated within a social context and were influenced by behavioral approaches. Social Cognitive Theory incorporates aspects of both behavioral and cognitive approaches and has been heavily influenced by the research of Albert Bandura.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of Social Cognitive Theory, including its application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Summarize and explain the concept of reciprocal causation in learning and its implications for the classroom.
- Recognize and analyze reciprocal causation in real world settings.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using social cognitive theory

Activities

Complete the following for Unit 8:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch Video:
 - 4th Grade, Canoga Park
- TAP 3: Social Cognitive Theory – Due day of class Unit 9
 - **To meet the requirements of TAP #1, candidates must complete a TAP on Social Cognitive Theory.** The purpose of this assignment is to help you analyze a teaching video sample and synthesize the information about the current theory to which you have been exposed.
 - **Directions**
 - Choose one video observation from any unit of the course (for example, “The 5th Grade, DC,” or “Investigating Crickets”).
 - Based on the Theory Application Product Rubric provided to you by your instructor, create EITHER an Analysis Paper OR a Web 2.0 Project that analyzes this video using the theory and readings for this unit.
 - If you choose to create an Analysis Paper, you must work independently, and you should use the Analysis Paper Template and Analysis Paper Scaffolding documents to help you.
 - If you choose to create a Web 2.0 project, you may work either independently or collaboratively with one or more classmates, and you should use the Web 2.0 Project Scaffolding document to help you.
 - BOTH Analysis Papers and the Web 2.0 Project must include the same information (only the format in which the information is presented is different):
 - Theory Presentation
 - Present the most important parts of the theory, including how the theory defines learning, the main concepts of the theory, and the strengths and weaknesses of the theory. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Theory Application
 - Explain two ways in which the theory is visible in the video. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.
 - Lesson Redesign
 - Identify the learning objective that is being taught. Explain how the lesson could be retaught based on this week’s theory; it must be based on the same learning objective as the original lesson. Describe two to three strategies that could be used (that were not already used in the original lesson) to teach the learning objective, as well as how the

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

effectiveness of these strategies would be assessed. Support this section with appropriate citations from the readings.

- Presentation skills
 - For ANALYSIS PAPERS, your paper should show mastery of academic writing and APA style that is free from any significant spelling, grammatical, organizational, or formatting errors.
 - For WEB 2.0 PROJECTS, your final product is cleanly put together, clear in presentation of subject matter, and user friendly. Use your Web 2.0 tool in a way that enhances the user's understanding of the subject matter. Give credit to the original sources, but APA style is not necessary.
- Candidates must complete three Theory Application Products during the course. Two submissions must be papers. One must be a Web 2.0 Project. Be sure to review your assignments in this course and other courses to plan your coursework schedule.
- **Grading:** Each Theory Application Product Assignment is worth 100 points, and 15% of your final grade.
- **Date Due:** Day of class next week, by the end of the day.

Readings

Ormrod (2011), Chapter 10

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- describe the main assumptions and principles of social cognitive theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of social cognitive theory, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- How can you use social cognitive theories to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?
- How are social cognitive theories of learning different from and similar to behavioral, developmental, constructivist, and cognitive theories of learning?
- How have you seen social cognitive theory in evidence in this course (through your own projects, class discussions, etc.)?
- What are some of the possible benefits and drawbacks of observational learning?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 9: Sociocultural Perspectives on Learning I

Introduction

This unit and the next are focused on the tenets of the sociocultural perspective and its application to practice in *mediational* approaches to learning. As with constructivism, there is some debate as to whether this is a theory or a perspective, given the broadness of sociocultural principles. However, the emphasis on cultural mediation in sociocultural perspective makes it highly relevant to current issues in learning.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit, candidates will be able to:

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of sociocultural theory, including its application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Identify the applications and limitations of sociocultural approaches.
- Recognize and analyze sociocultural theory in real world settings.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using sociocultural theory

Activities

Complete the following before Class Time this week:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch video:
 - Annenberg Media – Investigating Crickets
 - Annenberg Media - Conjectures Through Graphing
- Orientation Teaching Video Analysis Proposal (Due in class Unit 10)
 - You have read about and discussed a variety of theoretical perspectives that can be applied in practice to achieve learning goals. In this assignment, you are asked to review the teaching video that you made during Orientation and, thinking about what you have learned in this course, identify the learning theory you think you applied in your teaching. The purpose of this assignment is to assist you in identifying how individuals incorporate learning theory into their teaching (even unconsciously), and engage in a process of self-evaluation and class discourse in order to improve your teaching practices.
 - **Directions**
 1. View your teaching video with your study group and discuss which of the learning theories you all think it best exemplifies.
 2. Locate examples to support your conclusion.
 3. Imagine you were going to teach this lesson again, but this time applying pedagogy based on three different learning theories, one of which must be sociocultural theory.
 4. Identify the theories and describe how the instruction would change. You should use your group members for assistance in developing these ideas. Fill out the Proposal Template provided by your instructor.
 5. Bring your proposal to class in Unit 10, saved as a PDF. Also upload your proposal to the assignment portal in Unit 10 prior to class time.
 - **Grading:** This assignment is worth 50 points and constitutes 10% of your grade in this course.
 - **Date Due:** By Class Time in Week 10

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), pp. 36-51 (Vygotsky's Theory of Cognitive Development)
- Barton, A. C. (2003). Kobe's story: Doing science as contested terrain. *Qualitative Studies in Education*, 16(4), 533-552.
- John-Steiner, V., & Mahn, H. (1996). Sociocultural approaches to learning and development: A Vygotskian Framework. *Educational Psychologist*, 31(3-4), 191-206.

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- Poehner, M. E. (2005). Dynamic assessment in the language classroom. *Language Teaching Research*, 9(3), 233-265.

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- Describe the main assumptions and principles of sociocultural theories of learning, including application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- What are some examples of productive uses and limitations of sociocultural theory, based on your own experience? Why were these experiences productive or unproductive?
- How can you use sociocultural theory to analyze a learning event in a real world setting?
- How are sociocultural theories of learning different from and similar to behavioral, developmental, constructivist, cognitive, and social cognitive theories of learning?
- How have you seen sociocultural theory in evidence in this course (through your own projects, class discussions, etc.)?
- Active learning activities do not always promote active mental experiences. Why do you think students who are actively engaged in learning experiences may not be constructing new knowledge from these experiences?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 10: Sociocultural Perspectives on Learning II

Introduction

This unit continues the focus on the tenets of the sociocultural perspective and its application to practice in *mediational* approaches to learning.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit candidates will be able to:

- Identify the main assumptions and principles of the sociocultural perspective, including its application to instruction, assessment, motivation, self-regulation, and environment.
- Explain the use of scaffolding and ZPD from a sociocultural perspective.
- Identify the applications and limitations of a sociocultural approach.
- Critique a real world example of teaching and learning using sociocultural theory

Activities

Complete the following before Class Time this week:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch Videos:
 - Review Investigating Crickets
 - Review Conjectures Through Graphing
- Orientation Teaching Video Recreation
 - For your final assignment in this course, you will be re-teaching the lesson you taught for your Orientation Teaching Video. You will be using the methods described in your Orientation Teaching Video Proposal to re-teach your lesson. You will create a video presentation to show your results.
 - **Directions**
 - Your video should include:
 - Title screen (10-15 seconds) - your name, section number, instructor, title.
 - Clips from original video (up to 5 minutes) - including at least 2 captions describing what theories and concepts from the theories were evident and how. Example - Behaviorism: the use of a consequence (praise) immediately following a desired behavior in order to increase the behavior. Example - Sociocultural: use of scaffolding to assist learner. Use of partially completed order of steps for making a cafe mocha.
 - Lesson Objectives for redesigned lesson (10-15 seconds) - include boilerplate language (The student will be able to...), cognitive process, and content.
 - Example- The student will be able to explain the steps involved in making a strawberry banana smoothie.
 - Clips from redesigned lesson video (up to 10 minutes) - show each of the three strategies you applied. Captions should highlight:
 - the strategy (Example: creation of a mnemonic to help learner remember sequence)
 - the theory that supports that strategy (see example from #2 above)
 - the assessment strategy/ies (i.e. formal/informal, formative/summative, observation using a rubric, authentic, 10-item multiple-choice quiz, etc.)
 - captions should be on screen for 5-7 seconds
 - Reflection (up to 5 minutes) - you talking to the camera, includes your thoughts on how your original lesson went, the design of your new lesson, and the implementation of your new lesson. Make sure to discuss the relevance of the learning theories to your lesson redesign. Some questions you should consider are:
 - What were the strengths and weaknesses in your lesson?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

- What prior experiences, knowledge, and skills helped students succeed with this learning experience?
- Did students learn the concepts or skills well enough for application in new and novel situations? If not, what additional learning experiences might better support this level of application?
- What elements of practice need to change in order to improve student learning and participation (e.g., changes to the instructional sequence, stronger links to students' prior knowledge, more differentiated support for learners, efforts to ensure more equitable student participation, etc.)?
- How does the theoretical perspective on learning that you used help you make sense of your instructional practices?
- Closing screen (10-15 seconds) - this can say whatever you like, but should indicate that your video is ending.
- **Your final video should be no more than 20 minutes in length.**
- **Grading:** This assignment is worth 200 points, which is 25% of your final grade.
- **Due Date:** This assignment is due 48 hours prior to Class Time in Week 12. Look for the Turn It In page in that unit. You must also post your video on the course wall.

Readings

- Tharp, R.G., & Gallimore, R. (1988). A theory of teaching as assisted performance (Ch. 2), in R.G. Tharp & R. Gallimore, *Rousing minds to life: Teaching, learning, and schooling in social context*. (pp 27-43).
- Tharp, R.G., & Gallimore, R. (1988). The means of assisting performance (Ch. 3), in R.G. Tharp & R. Gallimore, *Rousing minds to life: Teaching, learning, and schooling in social context*. (pp 44-70).
- Bondy, E., Ross, D. D., Gallingane, C., & Hambacher, E. (2007). Creating environments of success and resilience. *Urban Education*, 42(4), 326-348.

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- How is a sociocultural approach different and similar to the prior theories and perspectives we have discussed in class?
- What is the relationship between culture and learning?
- Since individual and group knowledge is considered to be subjective, cultural, active, situational, and contextually mediated, contemplate the meaning of Barton's (2003) statement: "*we assume that schools operate meritocratically; that science achievement scores are based on one's efforts and abilities rather than one's degree of enculturation into a system*" (p. 536).

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 11: Motivation & Self-regulation

Introduction

The focus of this unit is on the nature of motivation and self-regulation. We will discuss the various ways that motivation and self-regulation are explained through each of the learning theories that have been discussed so far in the course. We will also discuss how student motivation and self-regulation can be affected through a variety of strategies supported by learning theories.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit candidates will be able to:

- Summarize motivational approaches aligned with learning theories.
- Summarize self-regulatory strategies aligned with learning theories.
- Critique motivational strategies in a real world setting.
- Critique self-regulatory strategies in a real world setting.
- Explain the relationship between motivation and self-regulation in the learning process.
- Explain the main tenets of attribution theory
- Describe how attribution theory can be applied in the classroom.

Activities

Complete the following before Class Time this week:

- Required reading assignments
- Forum post
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Watch videos:
 - Review E.L. Haynes (5th Grade Morning Routine)
 - Review Bank Street (Work Center Time)
 - Review Annenberg Media (Conjectures through Graphing)
 - Review Investigating Crickets
- Work on filming and editing your Orientation Teaching Video Recreation this week.

Readings

- Ormrod (2011), Chapter 11
- Rueda, R. & Dembo, M. (1995). Motivational processes in learning: A comparative analysis of cognitive and sociocultural frameworks. *Advances in Motivation and Achievement*, 9, 255-289.
- Dembo, M. H. & Eaton, M. J. (2000). Self-regulation of academic learning in middle-level schools. *The Elementary School Journal*, 100(5), 473-490.
- Wertsch, J. V. (2008). From social interaction to higher psychological processes: A clarification and application of Vygotsky's theory. *Human Development*, 51, 66-79.

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- What is the relationship between motivation, self-regulation, and academic achievement for diverse students?
- How are motivation and self-regulation addressed in the different learning theories and perspectives we have discussed in class?
- How can we design instruction that motivates students, as opposed to trying to motivate students to engage in boring lessons?
- What implications does attribution theory have for the classroom?
- How can self-regulation be explicitly incorporated into instruction?
- Are there strategies that can influence student motivation and self-regulation that are aligned with more than one learning theory/perspective? If so, what are they and how does the explanation of their use (i.e. how does it influence motivation) differ by perspective?
- To what extent do students' social relationships and motives influence their participation in classroom processes?

University of Southern California
Rossier School of Education
Course Syllabus

Unit 12: Reflection

Introduction

Reflection, or examining your teaching practice in a critical and reflective way, is a vital part of being an effective educator. The focus of this unit is on understanding the role of reflection in the teaching process, and to engage in a process of reflection on your growth as a learner and educator through your work in the EDUC 518 course.

Goals & Objectives

Upon completing this unit candidates will be able to:

- Discuss the role of reflection in the process of developing as an educator.
- Identify how theories of learning can inform and influence the process of reflection.
- Explain how their understanding of theories of learning has changed during their work in the EDUC 518 course.
- Explain how their perspective on the role of the teacher has changed during their work in the EDUC 518 course.
- Discuss how to provide and accept critical but constructive feedback from colleagues and students.
- Critically examine a sample of their own teaching practice.

Activities

Complete the following before Class Time this week:

- Reflection questions
- Review unit discussion questions and objectives
- Orientation Teaching Video Re-creation Due **48 hours prior to your Unit 12 class session** (submit via the assignment portal AND post on the course wall)
- Watch 2 of your colleagues' Orientation Teaching Video Recreations before class time.

Readings

- Review the readings on reflection from your 516 course
 - Rodgers, C. (2002)
 - Yost, D.S., Sentner, S.M., & Forlenza-Bailey, A. (2000)
 - Paley, V.G. (2007)

Discussion Questions (these may be discussed during your class time session):

- What does it mean to be a reflective educator?
- What kinds of reflective practice should you regularly engage in as an educator to ensure that you are continually developing professionally?
- How can learning theories help you to reflect on your teaching practices before, during, and after instruction?
- How can you use learning theories to gain valuable feedback on your teaching practices from your students?
- How could you work collaboratively with your peers to improve your teaching practice?
- How has your understanding of the process of learning and the art and science of teaching changed during your time in the EDUC 518 course?